COUNCIL'S COMPLIANCE TABLES DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION NO. 260.1.1/2023 NO'S. 400, 402, 402A AND 404 CABRAMATTA ROAD WEST, CABRAMATTA; 2 ORANGE GROVE ROAD, CABRAMATTA; AND 6 LINKS AVENUE, CABRAMATTA PROPOSED AMAGALMATION AND SUBDIVISION OF THE EXISTING 6 LOTS TO CREATE TWO TORRENS TITLE LOTS TO FACILITATE THE STAGED DEVELOPMENT OF THE SITE AS FOLLOWS:

STAGE 1: CONSTRUCTION OF 53 MULTI DWELLING HOUSING COMPRISING 15 X THREE-STOREY AND 38 X TWO-STOREY UNITS, ACROSS 8 BLOCKS (BLOCK A TO H), INCLUDING 1 LEVEL OF BASEMENT CAR PARKING AND AT-GRADE PARKING PROVIDING A TOTAL OF 136 SPACES; AND ANCILLARY WORKS INCLUDING DEMOLITION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES, EARTHWORKS, TREE REMOVAL, CONSTRUCTION OF A PRIVATE INTERNAL ACCESS ROAD, AND LANDSCAPING

STAGE 2: CONSTRUCTION OF 6-STOREY RESIDENTIAL FLAT BUILDING CONTAINING 85 APARTMENTS (REDUCED FROM 87) WITH TWO LEVELS OF BASEMENT PARKING PROVIDING A TOTAL OF 107 SPACES (REDUCED FROM 109), AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

## 1. Fairfield CityWide Development Control Plan (DCP) 2013

## a. Chapter 10 Miscellaneous Development

Chapter 10 of the DCP contains the site specific DCP controls in Section 10.14 and is applicable to the whole of the site including Stage 1 the multi dwelling housing and Stage 2 the residential flat building.

Section	Control	Proposal	Compliance
10.14 Controls for 400-404 Cabramatta Road and 2 Links Avenue, Cabramatta			
10.14.1	The site-specific provisions	The application seeks	Yes
Overview	contained within this section of	to develop the site	
	Fairfield City Wide DCP apply	generally as per the	
	to land known as 400-404	site specific provisions.	
	Cabramatta Road West, 2-18		
	Orange Grove Road and 6		
	Links Avenue.		
	Under the provisions of the		
	Fairfield Local Environmental		
	Plan 2013 the site may be		
	developed for a residential flat		
	building and multi dwelling		
	housing, subject to		
	development approval and		

**Table 1.** Fairfield CityWide DCP 2013: Chapter 10 Miscellaneous Development

	provisions of ELED 2012 and		
	this SCDCD. The following		
	Inis SSDCP. The following		
	provisions nave been prepared		
	In relation to future		
	development of the subject		
	site for these uses.		
10.14.2	This section forms part of the	An assessment of the	Yes
Relationship	Fairfield City Wide	application has been	
to other	Development Control Plan	undertaken against the	
sections of	2013 (FCWDCP) (2013).	relevant sections of the	
the City	Development within the land to	Fairfield CityWide DCP	
Wide DCP	which this plan applies, will	2013 and an a detailed	
	need to have regard to this	assessment is	
	section of the DCP as well as	provided within this	
	other relevant controls in the	Attachment and in the	
	broader City Wide DCP 2013.	Town Planning Section	
	In the event of any	of the main report.	
	inconsistency between this		
	section and other sections of		
	the DCP, this section will		
	prevail to the extent of the		
	inconsistency.		
General	The objectives of this site-	Assessment of the	No
Objectives	specific development control	application finds that	
	plan are to:	the detailed design	
	a. Provide a site responsive	does not result in the	
	development control	orderly use and	
	framework;	development of land, is	
	b. To ensure the orderly use	not entirely compatible	
	and development of the	with surrounding	
	land (to which this Part	development; is not	
	applies) for residential	considerate of	
	purposes;	adjoining development;	
	c. Promote development that	and does not achieve	
	is compatible with	appropriate residential	
	surrounding development;	amenity.	
	d. Ensure the future		
	redevelopment of the site		
	is considerate of adjoining		
	development, and;		
	e. Ensure appropriate		
	residential amenity of the		
	future development can be		
	achieved		
10.14.3 Buildi	ng and Site Design		
Site Design	Objectives	Assessment of the	No
and Layout	a. To ensure that the	application finds that	
	development site area	the proposal does not	
	will have sufficient area	meet these objectives.	

for the dwellings, vehicle access, landscaping, private and communal open space, parking, waste storage, collection,	
and amenity and are consistent with the	
desired future character of the area.	

Controls	The proposed layout	No
a. The layout of the	incorporates the	
buildings on the subject	following	
site shall be generally in	inconsistencies with	
accordance with figure 2	Figure 2 of the SDCP	
of this SSDCP Site	which are considered	
Layout and Building	to be inappropriate:	
Design. Alternative	<u>Site wide</u>	
layouts may be	<ul> <li>Increased loss of</li> </ul>	
considered subject to	existing vegetation	
final design in order to	on the site beyond	
ensure that future	what the DCP	
development is designed	allowed	
and constructed in a	<ul> <li>Reduced setbacks</li> </ul>	
manner that minimises	and separation	
adverse impacts upon	distances to lower	
ine amenity of the	aensity zones	
the environment	In Three units	
	south of the	
	residential flat	
	building have been	
	relocated to the	
	south-east part of	
	the site where their	
	own solar access	
	and amenity is	
	improved however	
	three units are	
	unacceptable	
	close to the east	
	boundary and	
	result in	
	unacceptable	
	selbacks and	
	neighboure	
	■ Communal car	
	parking area that	
	was originally	
	required to be	
	located at-grade at	
	the south-east	
	corner of the site	
	has been	
	relocated south of	
	the residential flat	
	building. Whilst	

	this location	
	presents an	
	improved outcome	
	to the solar access	
	for the three units	
	as the car park will	
	instead be	
	overshadowed, the	
	poorer outcome to	
	neighbours is not	
	appropriate.	
	<ul> <li>Four less car</li> </ul>	
	parking spaces at-	
	grade as a result	
	of the placement	
	parking area. The	
	is considered to be	
	units opposite the	
	substation face the	
	substation while	
	units behind the	
	substation will	
	require easements	
	restricting the use	
	of their POS.	
	<ul> <li>Reduced setback</li> </ul>	
	at ground and first	
	floor from Unit 1 to	
	the south adjoining	
	neighbouring	
	dwelling. The	
	design of Unit 1	
	<ul> <li>Boducod size of</li> </ul>	
	- Reduced Size of	
	now 96m <sup>2</sup> instead	
	of 140 52 $m^2$	

	b) Any variation from Figure 2 initiated by the applicant must be justified by an urban design study and detail description included within the Statement of Environmental Effects	Acceptable.	Yes
	c) There shall be sufficient space for bulky waste to be presented and collected at the kerbside of the internal road associated with the development site. There should be a dedicated space for other recycling systems beside normal kerbside collection, such as separate bins for clothes and e-waste including household batteries and mobile phones. Waste collection from Links Avenue will not be supported.	Issues of concern have been raised by Council's Waste Management Branch and Traffic Engineer with respect to the design of the circulation road and the waste storage and collection areas. Waste collection is proposed from the internal circulation road however Council's Traffic Engineers who have assessed the truck access for HRV advise that the design of the road at the bends does not permit two vehicles to pass one another at the same time and is likely to result in potential conflicts and hazards.	No
	d) Any future development application for medium or high- density housing will need to be accompanied by an application for re-subdivision that delineates the boundaries between the R3 Medium Density Residential and R4 High density residential zones consistent with figure 2 of the SSDCP	The amended Subdivision Plan provided in March 2024 addressed the DCP and delineated the boundaries between the zones consistent with the DCP.	Yes
Building Height	Objectives a. The building height is appropriate for the scale and character of the street and provides an acceptable impact on the amenity of adjoining properties.	The residential flat building and multi dwelling housing does not meet these objectives of the building height control due to the issues	No

<ul> <li>b. Ensure new development is consistent and compatible with established built form and hence results in a physically cohesive neighbourhood.</li> <li>c. Ensure appropriate separation between existing dwellings adjoining and dwellings proposed within the site.</li> <li>d. Ensure minimal overshadowing of neighbours properties.</li> <li>e. Ensure privacy and limited side and rear views of neighbours properties are maintained.</li> <li>f. Maintain sunlight in public and private open spaces</li> </ul>	identified in this report.	
Controls Residential Flat Building Height The maximum height of any residential flat building in R4 High Density Residential zone is 6 storeys (excluding basements) and 20 metres as outlined on the Fairfield LEP 2013 Height of Buildings Map.	Complies, 6 storeys proposed and maximum 19.97m height inclusive of lift overrun and screens.	Yes
Multi Dwelling Housing Height The maximum height of the multi dwelling housing for the R3 Medium Density Residential portion of the site is 2 storeys plus attic (excluding basements) and 9 metres as outlined on the Fairfield LEP 2013 Height of Building Maps.	LEP All units are less than 9m and comply with the LEP. DCP Originally 19 units facing Orange Grove Road were proposed as 3 storeys however the amended plans submitted in March 2024 deleted the third storey from 4 of the most southern units to provide a transition down to the lower- density neighbours.	Yes

		The 15 remaining units which have a third storey are designed as an attic and do not technically comply with the DCP. However the	
		appears to have envisaged the 3 storey design despite not technically being space within an attic.	
10.14.4 Building Setbacks and Separation	<ul> <li>Objectives <ul> <li>a. Maintain and enhance</li> <li>established streetscape</li> <li>and character of the</li> <li>neighbourhood.</li> <li>Components of streetscape</li> <li>and character of the</li> <li>neighbourhood include</li> <li>building setback,</li> <li>landscaping, fencing,</li> <li>lighting, pathways, and</li> <li>street trees.</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. Protect the privacy and</li> <li>solar access of adjacent</li> <li>properties.</li> <li>c. Avoid blank/plain façades</li> <li>along publicly visible parts</li> <li>of the development.</li> <li>d. Achieve a staggered and</li> <li>articulated built form.</li> <li>e. Ensure vehicular and</li> <li>pedestrian safety.</li> <li>f. Ensure landscaping</li> <li>opportunities, (sufficient</li> <li>deep soil areas) are</li> <li>available along the</li> <li>boundaries in cases where</li> <li>basement car park is</li> <li>proposed.</li> <li>g. Ensure appropriate space</li> <li>between buildings and</li> <li>boundaries is provided to</li> <li>maintain privacy, allow</li> <li>reasonable solar access</li> <li>and opportunities for</li> <li>landscaping where</li> <li>appropriate.</li> <li>b. Ensure there is sufficient</li> </ul>	It is considered that the proposal does not meet these objectives as the development does not provide increased setbacks to the lower density eastern neighbours and to the medium dwelling housing development over the R3 zone site, resulting in poor transition from a 6 storey building to the lower scale residences, blank walls, and unsuitable spaces between buildings.	No

<ul> <li>spatial separation between dwellings to accommodate access (i.e. both vehicular and pedestrian access) and landscaping needs.</li> <li>i. Ensure that setbacks to Orange Grove Road achieve deep soil planting in order to enhance privacy and mitigate acoustic impacts from the roadway.</li> <li>Control General Building setbacks are to be provided generally in accordance with the setbacks dimensioned on Figure 2 - Site Layout and Building Design of this SSDCP.</li> </ul>	Building setbacks are not in accordance with Figure 2 as outlined within this Table.	No, see below
Residential Flat Building Setbacks         a) The minimum setback distance between the residential flat building and adjoining properties at the eastern boundary shall be 9 metres or in accordance with the Apartment Design Guide, whichever is greater.         Extract from ADG:         Building height       Habitable balconies         in to 12m (4 storeys)       6m         in to 25m (5-8 storeys)       9m         ver 25m (9+ storeys)       12m         Apartment buildings should have an increased separation distance of 3m (in addition to the requirements set out in design criteria 1) when adjacent to a different zone that permits lower density residential development to provide for a transition in scale and increased landscaping (figure 3F.5)	Eastern Boundary Setbacks to R2 Zone Up to 4 storeys 9m minimum setback provided to eastern boundary for the first four storeys. This complies with the DCP and ADG requirement for increased setbacks to a lower density zone except for setback to corner of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m. <u>5-8 storeys</u> At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density	No

	zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 9m from the wall instead of 12m.	
	Plans are incorporated with the following measures: - 1.8m sill windows a living room with no other outlook. - Balcony opening replaced with wall resulting in poor outlook from balcony	
	The variations are considered to be unacceptable on the basis that the proposed 6 storey building does not achieve an appropriate scale compared to the eastern low density dwellings.	
	<b>Note</b> : Separation criteria of the ADG is also not achieved due to neighbours lesser setback, however plans include privacy measures to mitigate any overlooking however it is considered that an increased building setback is essential to	
	improve the transition from the 6 storey	

	building to the east	
	neighbour.	
b) The minimum building setback to Cabramatta Road West and Orange Grove Road on the land zoned R4 High Density Residential is 6 metres.	6m provided to street frontage at all levels of the residential flat building.	Yes
High Density Residential is 6 metres. c) The minimum setback distance between the residential flat building component and the multi dwelling housing component shall comply with the Apartment Design Guide. Extract from ADG: Mulding height Habitable Non- balconies 1 Non- to 12m (4 storeys) 6m 3m up to 25m (5-8 storeys) 9m 4.5m over 25m (9+ storeys) 12m 6m Apartment buildings should have an increased separation distance of 3m (in addition to the requirements set out in design criteria 1) when adjacent to a different zone that permits lower density residential development to provide for a transition in scale and increased landscaping (figure 3F.5)	Setbacks to MultiDwelling Housing inR3 ZoneUp to 4 storeysAt the first four storeys,the ADG requires a 9msetback consisting of 3+ 3 + 3m between non-habitable rooms andthe lower density zone;and a 9m setbackconsisting of 6m + 3msetback betweenhabitable rooms and alower density zone.Instead of 9m to Unit19 over the R3 zone asrequired by Figure 2 ofDCP and as requiredto the lower densityzone, a 7.5m minimumsetback is providedfrom the first 4 storeysto the multi dwellinghousing over the R3zone. This does notcomply with the DCPnor the ADG.5-6 storeysAt the 5th and 6thstorey, the ADG	No
	requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density	
	zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 7.5m setback instead of 12m	

	and does not comply.	
	Plans are incorporated with the following measures: - 1.8m sill windows a living room with no other outlook.	
	The variations are considered to be unacceptable on the basis that the proposed 6 storey building does not achieve an appropriate scale compared to the lower density development proposed over the R3 zoned land	
Multi Dwelling Housing Setbacks a) The minimum building setback to Orange Grove Road on the land zoned R3 Medium Density Residential is 5 metres.	5m provided complies.	Yes
b) The minimum rear-building setback to the adjoining Links Avenue property boundaries is 4.5 metres.	Links Avenue Properties Unit 1 does not comply and instead of 4.5m, is setback as follows: - 1m ground - 2.8m to 4.1m first floor Unit 1 was originally 3 storeys and has been reduced to two-storeys. <u>Smiths Avenue</u>	No
	Properties The DCP only refers to setbacks to Links Avenue properties and does not mention Smiths Avenue properties, however Smiths Avenue properties are identical as the Links Avenue	

all have a rear yard that directly adjoins the subject site. As such it is considered that this control should apply to any part of the proposed development that is situated adjacent a boundary that is the rear boundary of a residential neighbour. The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: • Block H - 2.21m setback from ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. • Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		properties in that they	
<ul> <li>that directly adjoins the subject sile. As such it is considered that this control should apply to any part of the proposed development that is situated adjacent a boundary that is the rear boundary of a residential neighbour.</li> <li>The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: <ul> <li>Block H = 2.21m</li> <li>setback from ground and first floor.</li> <li>Note: Block H + 2.21m</li> <li>setback from ground and first floor.</li> <li>Note: Block H + 4.21m</li> <li>setback from ground and first floor.</li> <li>Note: Block G - 3.5m</li> <li>setback from ground floor;</li> <li>Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate.</li> <li>Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy threes to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Swith the conforted with the confort</li></ul></li></ul>		all have a rear yard	
subject stie. As such it is considered that this control should apply to any part of the proposed development that is situated adjacent a boundary that is the rear boundary of a residential neighbour. The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: • Block H – 2.21m setback from ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. • Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the tree, either rendering the rear of		that directly adjoins the	
<ul> <li>is considered that this control should apply to any part of the proposed development that is situated adjacent a boundary that is the rear boundary of a residential neighbour.</li> <li>The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: <ul> <li>Block H - 2.21m</li> <li>Block H - 2.21m</li> <li>Block H - 2.21m</li> <li>Setback from ground and first floor.</li> <li>Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control.</li> <li>Block G - 3.5m</li> <li>setback from ground floor;</li> <li>Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate.</li> <li>Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the rear of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		subject site. As such it	
<ul> <li>control should apply to any part of the proposed development that is situated adjacent a boundary that is the rear boundary of a residential neighbour.</li> <li>The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties;</li> <li>Block H – 2.21m setback from ground and first floor.</li> <li>Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control.</li> <li>Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor;</li> <li>Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate.</li> <li>Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/tunk of the tree, either rendering the rear of</li> </ul>		is considered that this	
any part of the proposed development that is situated adjacent a boundary that is the rear boundary of a residential neighbour. The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: • Block H – 2.21m setback from ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. • Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the cranopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the rear of		control should apply to	
proposed development         that is situated         adjacent a boundary         that is the rear         boundary of a         residential neighbour.         The following setbacks         are provided to the rear         boundaries of Smiths         Avenue properties:         • Block H - 2.21m         setback from         ground and first         floor.         Note: Block H was         not envisaged in the         SSDCP and does         not have an         envelope nor         control.         • Block G - 3.5m         setback from         ground floor;         Note: S.72m from first         floor which is more         appropriate.         Block G setbacks of         3.5m do not enable         any viable screen         planting or canopy         trees to screen the row         of two storey built         forms running along         the rear of         neighbouring         properties. Occupants         of Block G will step out         into the yard and be         confronted with the		any part of the	
<ul> <li>that is situated adjacent a boundary that is the rear boundary of a residential neighbour.</li> <li>The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: <ul> <li>Block H – 2.21m setback from ground and first floor.</li> <li>Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control.</li> <li>Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor;</li> <li>Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate.</li> <li>Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the present</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		proposed development	
adjacent a boundary that is the rear boundary of a residential neighbour. The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: • Block H – 2.21m setback from ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. • Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the mean and the to the tree, either rendering		that is situated	
that is the rear boundary of a residential neighbour. The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: • Block H – 2.21m setback from ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. • Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the transpared		adjacent a boundary	
boundary of a residential neighbour. The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: • Block H – 2.21m setback from ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. • Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the sensored		that is the rear	
residential neighbour. The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: • Block H - 2.21m setback from ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. • Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the rearond		boundary of a	
The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: • Block H - 2.21m setback from ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. • Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		residential neighbour.	
The following setbacks are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: Block H – 2.21m setback from ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the rear of			
are provided to the rear boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: • Block H – 2.21m setback from ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. • Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		The following setbacks	
boundaries of Smiths Avenue properties: • Block H – 2.21m setback from ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. • Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the personed		are provided to the rear	
Avenue properties:         • Block H - 2.21m         setback from         ground and first         floor.         Note: Block H was         not envisaged in the         SSDCP and does         not have an         envelope nor         control.         • Block G - 3.5m         setback from         ground floor;         Note: 5.72m from first         floor which is more         appropriate.         Block G setbacks of         3.5m do not enable         any viable screen         planting or canopy         trees to screen the row         of two storey built         forms running along         the rear of         neighbouring         properties. Occupants         of Block G will step out         into the yard and be         confronted with the         canopy/trunk of the         tree, either rendering		boundaries of Smiths	
<ul> <li>Block H – 2.21m setback from ground and first floor.</li> <li>Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control.</li> <li>Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor;</li> <li>Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate.</li> <li>Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the prepared</li> </ul>		Avenue properties:	
setback from ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. • Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the properties.		<ul> <li>Block H – 2.21m</li> </ul>	
ground and first floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		setback from	
floor. Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		ground and first	
Note: Block H was not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control.         • Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor;         Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate.         Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the nearenead		floor.	
not envisaged in the SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		Note: Block H was	
SSDCP and does not have an envelope nor control. Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the rear of		not envisaged in the	
not have an envelope nor control. Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the proposed		SSDCP and does	
envelope nor control. Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the properties.		not have an	
<ul> <li>Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate.</li> <li>Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the properties</li> </ul>		envelope nor	
<ul> <li>Block G - 3.5m setback from ground floor;</li> <li>Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate.</li> <li>Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the properded</li> </ul>		control.	
setback from ground floor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the rendering		Block G - 3.5m	
ground noor; Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the properties		Setback from	
Note: 5.72m from first floor which is more appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the properties		ground lloor;	
appropriate. Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the propered		Note. 5.7211 ITOTT IIIst	
Block G setbacks of 3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering the properded		appropriate	
3.5m do not enable any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		appropriate. Block G sotbacks of	
any viable screen planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		3 5m do not onchio	
planting or canopy trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		any viable screen	
trees to screen the row of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		nlanting or canony	
of two storey built forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		trees to screen the row	
forms running along the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		of two storev huilt	
the rear of neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		forms running along	
neighbouring properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		the rear of	
properties. Occupants of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		neighbouring	
of Block G will step out into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		properties. Occupants	
into the yard and be confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		of Block G will step out	
confronted with the canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		into the vard and be	
canopy/trunk of the tree, either rendering		confronted with the	
tree, either rendering		canopy/trunk of the	
the proposed		tree, either renderina	
		the proposed	

			1
		landscaping unviable or the private open	
		space unseeable.	
	c) The minimum side-building	This control relates to	Yes
	setback to the adjoining	the Links Avenue	
	property boundaries is 0.9	properties on either	
	metres.	sides of the proposed	
		accessway which have	
		a side interface to this	
		development. No	
		buildings are proposed	
		to this area, only	
		landscaping and	
		driveways.	
	d) The minimum separation	This applies to:	No
	distance between dwellings	Blocks C and E	
	sharing private open space to	- 9m to 9.3m at ground	
	the rear is 7 metres.	floor	
	The private open space for	- 10.3m at first floor	
	these townhouses shall be	Block D and F	
	designed in a manner that	- 7m to 8.15m at	
	reduces overlooking and	ground floor	
	promotes privacy.	- 8.2m at first floor	
		While the numerical	
		requirement is	
		achieved by the	
		amended July 2024	
		plans, the section	
		diagrams clearly shows	
		that the higher Block C	
		has not been designed	
		to reduce overlooking	
		INTO BIOCK E.	N
	e) The minimum separation	Achieved in the	Yes
	that face periveen dwellings	amended plans.	
	the internal readway is 9.95		
	metres for multi dwelling		
	housing addressing the		
	western access road and 8.4		
	metres for multi dwelling		
	housing addressing the		
	eastern access roads		
a)	Objectives	The residential flat	No
Residential	Ensure that the residential flat	building does not meet	
Flat Building	building considers and is	the requirements of	
Design	consistent with the nine design	SEPP 65 and is	
	quality principles within State	inconsistent with the	
	Environmental Planning Policy	design quality	
	65 – Design Quality of	principles.	

	Residential Flat Development.		
	Controls		
	The residential flat building		
	design is subject to the		
	requirements of State		
	Environmental Planning Policy		
	65 – Design Quality of		
	Residential Flat Development		
	including Design Quality		
	Principles and the Apartment		
	Design Guide.		
b)	Objectives	Assessment of the	No
Building	a) Ensure privacy is	application finds that	
Form Multi	maximised for neighbours of	the proposal does not	
Dwelling	the development and those	meet these objectives.	
Housing	who will occupy the	, ,	
J	townhouse/villas development.		
	b) Reduce bulk and achieve a		
	mix of two storey built		
	elements that respond to the		
	opportunities and constraints		
	of the site.		
	c) Encourage the massing of		
	the dwellings to take into		
	account overshadowing		
	impacts on surrounding		
	properties and private open		
	space within the development.		
	d) Ensure development is		
	compatible with its		
	surroundings		
	e) Ensure building bulk, site		
	coverage and open space		
	provisions are compatible with		
	neighbouring development.		
	f) Ensure that there is		
	sufficient spatial separation		
	between dwellings to		
	accommodate access and		
	landscaping needs.		
		IVIUITI OWEIIING HOUSING	res
	a) iviaximum permissible floor		
	space ratio for any	aweiling nousing as	
	the floor oppose ratio standard	amended is 0.58.1 and	
	ne noor space ratio standards		
	prescribed on the Fairlieid LEP	normitted by the LED	
	ZUIS FIUUI Space Ralio Map.	Addrosood in the next	No
	the 6A 2 1 (ESD) of the	Table 2 however the	
	Eairfield City Wide DCD 2012		
		proposal does not	1

-			
	must be complied with.	comply.	
	b) Maximum permissible	Height of buildings	Yes
	building height for any	appear to comply with	
	development must comply with	the maximum height	
	the building height standards	permitted by the LEP	
	prescribed on the Fairfield LEP	- RFB is 19.97m where	
	building Heights Map.	LEP allows 20m	
	······································	- MDH is maximum 9m	
		as allowed by the LEP	
	The requirement set out in	Addressed in the next	No
	6A 2 2 Building Height of the	Table 2 however the	
	Eairfield City Wide DCP 2013	proposal does not	
	must be complied with	comply	
	a) Ensure that the	Section 6A 2.4 is now	No
	c) Elisule illat ille	Section 6A.2.1 in	NO
	development complies with the	the emended DCD and	
	Controls Set out in Section	ine amended DCP and	
	6A.2.4 Balanced Building	Is addressed in the	
	Form within the Fairfield City	next lable 2 nowever	
	Wide DCP 2013.	the proposal does not	
		comply.	
	d) The development must	Section 6A.2.5 Building	NO
	comply with the controls	Separation is in	
	outlined within Section 6A.2.5	Section 6A.2.4 of the	
	Building Separation.	amended DCP and is	
		addressed in the next	
		Table 2 however the	
		proposal does not	
		comply.	
	e) The development must	Addressed in the next	No
	comply with all other sections	Table 2 with respect to	
	within Chapter 6A.2 Built Form	the following:	
	and Urban Design of the	- size of rooms and	
	Fairfield City Wide DCP 2013	storage	
	including units per site area,	- access for all	
	size of rooms and storage,	residents	
	Access for all residents,	- dwelling	
	Dwelling position/orientation	position/orientation	
	and Development Facade.	- development façade	
	•	Note: Despite this	
		control referring to	
		units per site area, the	
		site specific controls	
		clearly state there is no	
		numerical control for	
		the number of units at	
		the site and this	
		section is not	
		addressed	
	f) The development must	Addressed in the next	No
	comply with the objectives and	Table 2 however the	
1			

	controls outlined in 6A.5.3 Privacy of the Fairfield City	proposal does not	
	Wide DCP 2013.		
10.14.5	Objectives	A mix of 3 and 4	Yes
Mix of Units	a) Ensure that housing supply responds to the needs of the	bedroom units are provided as part of the	
	b) Ensure a mix of units is	The following mix is	
	available which provides for different family sizes and	provided: Block A (11 dwellings)	
	people at different stages of their life cycle.	<ul> <li>4 x 3 bedroom units plus study capable</li> </ul>	
		of being used as a fifth bedroom	
		7 x 4 bedroom units	
		<ul> <li>Block B (8 dwellings)</li> <li>8 x 4 bedroom units</li> </ul>	
		plus study capable	
		of being used as a	
		fifth bedroom	
		BIOCK C (9 dweilings)	
		Block D (7 dwellings)	
		■ 7 x 3 bedroom units	
		<u>Block E (8 dwellings)</u>	
		<ul> <li>8 x 3 bedroom units</li> </ul>	
		<u>Block F (1 dwelling)</u>	
		<ul> <li>1 x 3 bedroom units</li> </ul>	
		Block G (6 dwellings)	
		■ 6 x 4 bedroom units	
		BIOCK H (3 dweilings)	
	Controls	No 1 and 2 bedroom	Yes
	There is no minimum	units are provided as	
	requirement for 1, 2 or 3 +	part of the multi	
	bedroom dwellings on the R3	dwelling housing	
	Medium Density Residential	development however	
	land.	the DCP does not	
		impose a minimum	
		no concerns are raised	
		with the mix.	
10.14.6	Objectives	It is considered that	No
Units per	a) Encourage a guide as to	there is inadequate	
Site Area	the potential yield of a	spatial separation	
	development site.	between the dwellings	
	b) Encourage amaigamation	resulting in poor	
	c) Provide adequate space for	and acoustic privacy	
	dwellings and their		

	amenities.		
	<b>Controls</b> Any multi dwelling housing development must not exceed the maximum FSR limit for the site set out in the relevant Fairfield Local Environmental Plan that applies when any development application is determined. There is no limit on the number of multi dwelling houses or apartments on the site	53 units are proposed given there is no limit on the number of units at the site; and it is noted that the amended plans reduce the overall GFA and FSR to ensure compliance with the maximum FSR limit for the site.	Yes
10.14.7 Solar Access and Natural Ventilation	<ul> <li>Objectives <ul> <li>a) Encourage the benefits of winter sun and minimise the intrusion of summer heat in design.</li> <li>b) Ensure internal living spaces and private open space has adequate access to sunlight.</li> <li>c) Maintain direct sunlight to adjacent dwellings.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The development satisfies these objectives.	Yes
	<b>Controls</b> a) All dwellings must be designed to ensure compliance with the controls in 6A.5.1 Solar Access of the Fairfield City Wide DCP 2013.	Addressed in Table 2.	Yes
	b) Each Dwelling is to be naturally ventilated.	Given the frontage onto two major classified roads, the Acoustic Report finds that natural ventilation of the units facing Cumberland Highway such as by opening windows will result in unacceptable exceedance of established acoustic criteria. In order to comply with criteria, the report recommends that these units be mechanically ventilated, advising that whilst, <i>"…specific</i>	No

requirements are outside of our scope of expertise; however, an acoustically insulated building must be kept virtually airtight to exclude external noise. Therefore, mechanical ventilation, noise
outside of our scope of expertise; however, an acoustically insulated building must be kept virtually airtight to exclude external noise. Therefore, mechanical ventilation, noise
expertise; however, an acoustically insulated building must be kept virtually airtight to exclude external noise. Therefore, mechanical ventilation, noise
acoustically insulated building must be kept virtually airtight to exclude external noise. Therefore, mechanical ventilation, noise
building must be kept virtually airtight to exclude external noise. Therefore, mechanical ventilation, noise
virtually airtight to exclude external noise. Therefore, mechanical ventilation, noise
exclude external noise. Therefore, mechanical ventilation, noise
Therefore, mechanical ventilation, noise
ventilation, noise
absorbing ventilators or
air-conditioning are
needed to provide
fresh air and to control
odours."
Council's Public Health
& Environment (PH&E)
Section has raised no
proposed mechanical
venulation.
in this regard, while the
proposal is unable to
vontilation to unite
facing Cumberland
Highway, natural air
can be drawn via a
mechanical system
affic and Parking
Objectives Assessment of the No
a) Internal vehicle and application finds that
n pedestrian circulation should the proposal does not
function like a street, minimise meet these objectives.
the dominance of the
driveway, and minimise impact
on habitable spaces.
b) Ensure adequate off-street
car parking spaces are
available within the subject
SILE.
c) Ensure adequate on-street
Leasted on aita in accessible
and available at all time to
and available at all time to
and available at all time to residents and visitors.
Indicated on site, is accessible, and available at all time to residents and visitors.A two-way internalControlsA two-way internalNoa) A two-way internal accessaccess road is
Indicated on site, is accessible, and available at all time to residents and visitors.A two-way internalControlsA two-way internalNoa) A two-way internal access road is to be provided in the provided as generallyNo
affic and Parking       Council's Public Health & Environment (PH&E) Section has raised no issues with the proposed mechanical ventilation. In this regard, while the proposal is unable to provide natural ventilation to units facing Cumberland Highway, natural air can be drawn via a mechanical system.         affic and Parking       Assessment of the a) Internal vehicle and pedestrian circulation should function like a street, minimise the dominance of the driveway, and minimise impact on habitable spaces. b) Ensure adequate off-street car parking spaces are available within the subject site.       Assessment of the application finds that the proposal does not meet these objectives.

2 and des with the re Standards	signed in accordance elevant Australian s.	however the design is not compliant with Australian Standards	
b) The int to be des Council's emergend access th	ernal access road is igned to ensure waste vehicles and cy vehicles can e site.	and concerns have been raised by Council's Traffic Engineer and by Council's Waste Management Section as the design does not enable Council's HRV waste collection vehicle to pass another vehicle at the corners.	No
c) The two to serve a pedestria environm traffic cali are to be the releva applicatio	o-way internal road is as a shared n and vehicle ent. Appropriate ming mechanisms detailed as part of ant development n.	A pedestrian access is considered to be essential for this site but has not been provided. The application as originally lodged had no traffic control measures along the circulation road and concern was raised that residents would illegally park in front of their units blocking and reducing the road to one-way and further exacerbating the poor pedestrian amenity. The applicant introduced 'no parking' restrictions along the circulation road in March 2024. Council's Traffic Engineer requested that these be replaced to 'no stopping' to deter vehicles from illegally parking and obstructing two-way traffic flow. The applicant's response submitted in September 2024 accepted this restriction by way of a	No

		condition.	
	d) The carriageway width of the internal road network curb to curb is to be a minimum of 6 metres.	condition. The application proposes 6m to the eastern and western road and complies, however the road to the new Block H which was not envisaged in the site specific DCP layout is only 3m and does not allow the two- way movement of the three units in Block H without impacting on another. The entry to the northern car parking area is also 5.4m and does not enable two-	No
	e) The carriageway width	does not enable two- way safe and efficient movement of vehicles.	Νο
	including the curb is to be 8.850 metres.	does not comply with this control along the eastern carriageway and only 6m has been provided. This is unacceptable given the lack of a pedestrian footpath.	
	f) The carriageway width between properties situated adjacent to each other across the internal road network is to be 12.150 metres measured from the building line of the garage.	as low as 9.6m. This is unacceptable given the lack of a pedestrian footpath.	No
	g) Pedestrian access is to be provided in the general format shown in Figure 2 Site Layout and building setbacks.	Figure 2 only required an east-west pedestrian link which has been provided. However the building setbacks which enabled the shared pedestrian access have not been complied with.	No
	<ul> <li>h) Traffic control measures are</li> <li>to be considered at the sites</li> <li>entrance to mitigate potential</li> </ul>	Amended plans now incorporate a range of traffic control measures	No

impacts of existing traffic	where the original	
movements along Links	plans did not propose	
Avenue.	any measures.	
	Council's Traffic	
	Engineers have	
	reviewed these	
	measures and raised	
	concerns with the	
	proposal. The proposal	
	Is not considered to be	
	respect to the	
	adequacy of mitigation	
	measures	
i) A pedestrian access and	A Pedestrian Access	Νο
mobility plan is to be	and Mobility Plan	
developed and prepared by a	(PAMP) was submitted	
suitably qualified traffic	in March 2024	
consultant, including	prepared by a traffic	
identification of key desire	consultant.	
lines. The plan must show the		
developments impact to the	It concludes that the	
frontage along Cumberland	existing pedestrian	
Highway including the impact	the freeting reade	
requirements of a strategic	including footpaths	
cycling corridor and walking	kerb ramps and	
corridor in Transport NSW	pedestrians crossings	
Sydney Cycling Future 2013.	at signalised	
	intersections are	
	adequate and are to be	
	retained, and no	
	additional facilities are	
	proposed.	
	The PAMP does not	
	identify the key desire	
	ines, does not show	
	and pedestrian access	
	development and door	
	not address this DCPs	
	requirement for impact	
	on the strategic cycling	
	corridor and walking	
	corridor in Transport	
	NSW Sydney Cycling	
	Future 2013.	
	Council's Traffic	

		Engineers raised issues with the PAMP as follows: <i>"The PAMP</i> does not note what pedestrian facilities are adequate now but may need upgrading in the future upon occupation, in any case the applicant is required to upgrade any pedestrian facility that would primarily benefit and mitigate the impact of the development on traffic congestion in the area". The applicant responded that the PAMP will be revised however did not submit a revised PAMP. Council's Traffic Engineer has advised that a revised PAMP addressing the above	
		submitted to Council.	V
	J) Vehicle ingress and egress shall be provided solely from 6 Links Avenue.	Achieved.	Yes
	k) Stop signs are to be installed at the exit of the development to provide Links Avenue traffic priority.	Achieved.	Yes
Parking	<ul> <li>Objectives <ul> <li>a) Ensure adequate off-street</li> <li>car parking spaces are</li> <li>available on site for residents</li> <li>and visitors.</li> <li>b) Ensure off-street car</li> <li>parking is accessible and</li> <li>available at all times to</li> <li>residents and visitors.</li> <li>c) Encourage the use of public transport.</li> <li>d) Minimise the portion of the</li> </ul></li></ul>	Achieved.	Yes

site dedicated to vehicle		
Controlsa) Car parking spaces mustcomplywithdimensionrequirementssetoutwithintherelevantAustralianStandard.	Amended plans have redesigned Unit 11 and Unit 43 garage depths to provide the minimum 5.4m length.	Yes
b) Disabled and emergency vehicle parking must be provided at the rate specified in the relevant Australian Standard.	No accessible parking spaces have been provided for residents and visitors.	No
C) Car parking rates must be provided at the rate specified in Chapter 12 Table 1 Parking rates of the Fairfield City Wide DCP 2013.	Residential flat building complies and provides 1 space per 4 units for visitors. In this regard, 85 residential spaces are provided and 22 visitor parking spaces for the 85 apartments and complies. However 3 electric vehicle charging bays are provided over visitor spaces and the applicant has indicated personal chargers will be provided to residential spaces on tenants demand. This can be subject to a condition. <u>Multi dwelling housing</u> Site is in Location B and all units are 3 or more bedroom dwellings. 53 units require 2 spaces each for a total of 106 spaces, and 14 visitor spaces are required. The site provides: - 106 residential spaces and complies. - 30 visitor spaces	Yes

		16 spaces).	
Traffic Noise	Objectives	These objectives have	Yes
Traffic Noise Attenuation	<ul> <li>Objectives <ul> <li>a) Ensure outside noise levels are controlled to acceptable levels in living and bedrooms of dwellings.</li> <li>b) Ensure appropriate acoustic treatments are incorporated within the development.</li> <li>c) Ensure varieties of acoustic treatments are used to protect existing neighbouring residents.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Controls <ul> <li>a) Future development applications must demonstrate that dwellings can achieve the relevant internal noise criteria.</li> <li>b) Any future Development Application located near a major road must address the noise, vibration and air quality impacts of the major road on the development.</li> <li>The requirements of State Environmental Planning Policy Infrastructure (2007) apply.</li> <li>c) Council may require the applicant prepare an acoustic report prepared by a suitably application of the major road on the development.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	These objectives have been achieved. These matters have all been satisfactorily addressed in an amended Acoustic Report submitted to Council in March 2024. The report was assessed by Council's Public Health & Environment section who raise no further concerns with the proposal.	Yes
	relation to noise emission		
10.14.9 Open 9	issues. Space, Landscaping and Enviro	 onment	
Communal	Objectives	The development does	No
and Private Open Space	<ul> <li>a. Adequate area for communal open space is provided that enhances residential amenity.</li> <li>b. Ensure adequate private open space for town house</li> </ul>	not meet all these objectives.	
	<ul> <li>developments.</li> <li>c. Ensure private open space includes landscaping and soft areas.</li> <li>d. Ensure direct access and a relationship between indoor</li> </ul>		

<ul> <li>and outdoor living areas.</li> <li>e. Ensure that private open space is useable, functional and easily accessible for residents.</li> <li>f. Ensure passive surveillance of communal open space.</li> </ul>		
<b>Controls</b> a) The area of principal private open space provided for each dwelling is at least 25m2 with a minimum dimension of 2.5m.	All blocks and units comply with the minimum 25m <sup>2</sup> . Block G meets the minimum requirement.	Yes
<ul> <li>b) The total area of communal open space must be a minimum of 8% of the R3 Medium Density Residential component of the site area illustrated on figure 2.</li> </ul>	Site area of R3 zone is 11,929m <sup>2</sup> . 8% is equal to 954.32m <sup>2</sup> . Plans propose 1,020m <sup>2</sup> of consolidated COS equal to 8.5% of the R3 site area and complies.	Yes
c) The minimum area of the primary communal open space must not be less than 1020m <sup>2.</sup>	Plans propose 1,020m <sup>2</sup> in the primary consolidated area (COS 1) along the east boundary and the amended plans have reinstated additional pockets of COS that were required by Figure 2 of the DCP such that the total COS exceeds 1,020m <sup>2</sup> .	Yes
d) Common Open Space must include features such as seating, shade structures, child play equipment and barbeques to satisfy the recreation needs of residents	The embellishments are not adequate to meet the needs of 53 x 3-4 + bedroom units.	No
e) The three communal open space parcels onsite, including the communal open space for the residential apartments and the terraces must be embellished to a standard to allow for passive recreation and landscaping.	There is inadequate facilities in the COS, pedestrian access into COS is poor, limited to one point, no steps, no access to the area planted with trees, no incidental spaces across the site such as no seating along	No

		walkways along other	
		COS areas vegetable	
		ardens barbeque	
		areas shown on	
		architectural plans but	
		not on landscape	
		Dians de net have a	
		Plans do not nave a	
		planting schedule of all	
		proposed species,	
		sizes. There's a lot of	
		new planting around	
		trees being retained	
		but not a whole lot of	
		COS.	
	T) The main parcel of	I ne Landscape Plans	INO
	communal open space	show terracing.	
	adjoining the eastern	However terracing	
	boundary (approximately	does not provide for	
	1020 square metres) shall be	passive recreation	
	stepped or terraced to allow	areas nor appropriate	
	appropriate passive	pedestrian access.	
	recreation while maintaining		
	reasonable accessibility to		
	people with prams,		
	wheelchairs or a disability.	<b></b>	X
	g) The stepping or terracing of	I his will need to be	Yes
	the main parcel of communal	managed prior to and	
	open space must be	during any	
	undertaken in such a manner	construction. This has	
	to ensure the long term	been addressed in the	
	retention of significant	amended arborist	
	vegetation.	report submitted in	
Londooning	Ohiaatiiyaa	The proposal data not	No
Landscaping		The proposal does not	INO
	a) Ensure appropriate amenity	meet these objectives.	
	b) Ensure appropriate amonity		
	b) Ensure appropriate amenity		
	dwellinge		
	Controlo	Achieved	Vee
	a) Landscaning should form		100
	a) Landscaping should form		
	development providing		
	separation (visual and		
	acoustic) to adicining		
	residential dwelling		
	h) The access handle to	Achieved	Ves
1	$  \mathbf{v}_{j}  $ The access handle to		103

	Links Avenue should be appropriately landscaped with mature plants to ensure visual and acoustic separation between the road, car parking and existing		
	c) Units addressing the large communal open space shall have fencing at a scale and design that provides appropriate passive surveillance.	Achieved.	Yes
	d) Landscaping between the proposed residential flat building and the adjoining existing low-density residential area are to be of mature plantings approved by Council's relevant Natural Resources Officer, or similar.	No issues have been raised by Council's Natural Resource Officer.	Yes
Tree Protection	<ul> <li>Objectives <ul> <li>a) To provide adequate opportunities for the retention of existing mature trees.</li> <li>b) To provide a tree canopy that will form part of the broader tree canopy for the suburb.</li> <li>c) Provision of new vegetation that contributes to biodiversity, enhances tree canopy, minimises urban runoff and provides separation between the development and existing residential dwellings adjoining the site.</li> <li>d) The existing trees identified green on figure 2 of this SSDCP must be retained unless agreed by Council.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The proposal does not meet these objectives. Additionally, the existing trees identified in Figure 2 as required to be retained are not all being retained.	No
	<b>Controls</b> a) Any development application to remove trees must provide an arborist report prepared by a suitably qualified professional.	Council's assessment finds that some 13 trees that the DCP required to be retained are proposed for removal. An Arboricultural	No

	b) Any doyclopport	Assessment Report was provided however the recommendations for removal of these trees are not supported on the basis that the trees contribute to streetscape and neighbourhood amenity and screening of the development that will take years to establish if the trees are removed and replaced with new planting. As such the proposed tree removal is not supported.	Vee
	b) Any development application proposing removal of vegetation of significance must provide an ecological report prepared by a suitably qualified professional.	An ecological report has been provided and was reviewed by Council's Natural Resources Brach and is acceptable. This has been addressed to the satisfaction of Council's Natural Resources Branch.	Yes
	c) Any works pertaining to the removal or preservation of trees onsite must comply with the requirements set out in Chapter 3 Environmental Management constraints of the Fairfield City Wide DCP 2013.	Achieved.	Yes
	d) Any future development must comply with relevant provisions of the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (BCA), including biodiversity offset requirements. Relevant technical reports under the BCA including a Biodiversity Assessment Method (BAM). A Biodiversity Assessment Report (BDAR) would be required to be prepared by an accredited assessor	The site is not mapped under the NSW Biodiversity Values Map. However Council's mapping identifies the site as being in a conservation significance area. This matter been addressed to the satisfaction of Council's Natural Resources Branch	Yes
10.14.10 Drain			

On Site	Objectives	Council's Development	Yes
Detention	a) To ensure that by using	Engineer has reviewed	
	OSD, storm water discharge	this aspect of the	
	is controlled thereby ensuring	proposal and raised no	
	development does not	issues of concern	
	increase the risk of	subject to conditions.	
	downstream flooding, erosion		
	of unstable waterways or a		
	reduction of the capacity of		
	Council's drainage network.	-	
	Controls	Council's Development	Yes
	a) Relevant controls,	Engineer has reviewed	
	performance criteria and	this aspect of the	
	where the policy applies can	proposal and raised no	
	be found in Chapter 4 of the	ISSUES.	
	Stormwater Management		
	Policy – September 2017.		No
	apporally in apportance with	odviged that whilet the	INO
	generally in accordance with	advised that whilst the	
	a f this SSDCD. The OSD	plans propose an OSD	
	2 OI IIIIS SSDCP. THE USD	volume of 31 m <sup>3</sup> and	
	should be designed to	in this DCD, the	
	accommodate a volume of	n uns DCP, une	
		the requirements of the	
		Stormwater	
		Management Policy	
		and no issues of	
		concern are raised with	
		the proposed volume	
		It is noted that the OSD	
		is not located in the	
		original location shown	
		in the DCP but is in	
		the vicinity and is	
		under the driveway as	
		per the DCP. The	
		amended plans	
		submitted in March	
		2024 removed the	
		OSD from the side	
		setback landscaped	
		areas and would no	
		longer hinder the ability	
		to achieve dense	
		landscaping at the	
<b>A</b> ( <b>A A A</b>		boundary.	
Cut and Fill		I ne controls for cut	res
	a) The development responds	and fill are achieved,	
1		and Council notes that	

	site, reducing the visual impact	the site is not affected	
	and avoiding large amounts of	by flooding and does	
	cut and fill and minimise the	not require to be raised	
	impacts of retaining walls.	to achieve freeboard.	
	Controls		
	Unless the dwelling is over a		
	basement or identified on		
	Figure 3 of this SSDCP as an		
	area requiring fill, the height		
	of ground floor level above		
	the natural ground level shall		
	be limited to 600mm except		
	where it is required to be		
	raised to achieve a suitable		
	freeboard above the flood		
	level or the 100 year ARI		
	water level of an on-site		
	detention basin. This 600mm		
	height includes the fill,		
	thickness Any variation from		
	this floor height shall not		
	cause adverse amenity		
	impacts to the adjoining		
	properties and shall be		
	iustified to the Council.		
10.14.11 Acce	ssibility	I	I
	Objectives	Amended plans	
	a) Provide easy access for all,	submitted in March and	No
	including people with prams,	July 2024 still do not	
	wheelchairs, walking	provide any pedestrian	
	difficulties, and sight, hearing	facilities along the	
	or intellectual impairments.	central roadway and at	
	b) Ensure the development	the Links Avenue	
	accounts for the needs of	entrance to the site.	
	individuals with disabilities and	Additionally, there is	
	the elderly.	very poor pedestrian	
		access to the	
		communal open space	
		with only one entry	
		An Access Peport was	
		submitted with the	
		application and no	
		issues are raised	
		regarding the report	
	Controls	53 multi dwelling	Yes
	A minimum of 10% of the multi	housing are proposed.	
	dwelling houses must have a	10% is equivalent to	

	bedroom, bathroom and	5.3 dwellings rounded	
	kitchen on the ground floor.	up to 6 dwellings	
		requiring to be	
		provided with a	
		bedroom. bathroom	
		and kitchen on the	
		around floor. The	
		amended plans	
		submitted in March	
		2024 comply with this	
		requirement by	
		redesigning 6 units i e	
		1 Inite 15 16 17 19 10	
		and 50 The original	
		and 50. The onymai	
		plans proposed zero	
40.44.42 Bour	dam, Articulation to Orongo Cr		
10.14.12 DOUN	Objectives		Vee
		Achieved	res
	a) to ensure the boundary		
	fencing to Orange Grove		
	Road is articulated to allow		
	for additional landscaping		
	along the street edge to		
	reduce the visual impact of		
	the boundary fence.		
	Controls	Recessed boundary	Yes
	a) The boundary fence along	fencing has been	
	Orange Grove Road is to be	provided generally in	
	articulated generally in	accordance with Figure	
	accordance with Figure 2 of	2 albeit in slightly	
	this SSDCP.	different locations.	
	b) Appropriate landscaping is	Capable of being	Yes
	to be provided in the	provided.	
	articulation zones along the	.	
	street frontage.		
			•

## b. Chapter 6A Multi-Dwelling Housing Development

Chapter 6A of the Fairfield CityWide DCP 2013 only partly applies, and only applies to the Stage 1 Multi Dwelling Housing. The parts of Chapter 6A that apply and must be considered are expressly identified in the controls in Section 10.14 of Chapter 10 of the DCP which was addressed in the Table above. Only the relevant sections of Chapter 6A are included in the Table below. Council notes the current DCP was amended recently and the assessment below is based on the latest version of the DCP.

6A.2.1 Floor Space Ratio (FSR)         Objective       Not achiev         a) To ensure building bulk, site       coverage and open space         provisions are compatible       with neighbourhood character.         b) To ensure new built form is       compatible with a medium         density built environment.       At 0.58:1, f         Controls       At 0.58:1, f         a) Maximum permissible floor       slightly und         must comply with the floor       slightly und         must comply with the floor       that is perr         LEP.       LEP.	Compliance
Objective a) To ensure building bulk, site coverage and open space provisions are compatible with neighbourhood character. b) To ensure new built form is compatible with a medium density built environment.Not achiev here here At 0.58:1, f dwelling housing development maximum that is perr LEP.	
density built environment.ControlsAt 0.58:1, fa) Maximum permissible floordwelling hospace ratio for any multislightly unddwelling housing developmentmaximummust comply with the floorthat is perrspace ratio developmentLEP.	ed. No
standards prescribed on the Fairfield LEP 2013 Floor Space Ratio Map noting the following exceptions: i. For land in Zone R3 Medium Density Residential (shown as Area B on the floor space ratio map): i. if the site has a street frontage of less than 22 metres— 0.5:1, or ii. if the site has a street frontage of at least 22 metres— 0.65:1, and ii. A floor space ratio bonus of up to 0.15:1 may be permitted if all car parking for the development is provided in a basement; and	he multi busing is der the 0.6:1 FSR nitted by the tions in this not apply to

 Table 2. Fairfield CityWide DCP 2013: Chapter 6A Multi-Dwelling Housing

 Section
 Control

D F C to ju C B R E ic m	Development Standards of the Fairfield LEP 2013 allows Council to consider variations o development standards e.g. floor space ratio) where ustified to the satisfaction of Council. b) Clause 4.4 Floor Space Ratio of the Fairfield Local Environment Plan 2013, dentifies the objectives for maximum FSR	At 0.6:1, the multi dwelling housing is slightly under the maximum 0.6:1 FSR that is permitted by the L FP	Yes
c R F d C R F	c) Calculation of Floor Space Ratio must comply with the Floor Space Ratio provisions defined in clause 4.5 Calculation of Floor Space Ratio and Site Area of the Fairfield LEP 2013.	Achieved.	Yes
d (() b tc o D o W N [	d) The overall gross floor area GFA) of the upper floors shall be a maximum of 65% of the otal GFA at ground level. This only applies to R3 Medium Density Residential properties outside of the Area 1 precinct within the Fairfield LEP FSR Map Ground Floor GFA Upper Floor GFA 65% of Ground Floor GFA	<ul> <li>130% proposed instead of 65% as follows:</li> <li>Upper floor GFAs are 3,898.31m<sup>2</sup></li> <li>Ground floor GFAs are 2,995.59m<sup>2</sup></li> <li>The variation is not considered to be acceptable given the low scale, low density residential neighbours, together with the non- compliant setbacks and issues identified in this assessment.</li> <li>Amended plans reduced the variation from 134% to 130% as a result of originally miscalculating and exceeding FSR.</li> <li>Note: This control was previously referred to as Section 6A.2.4 Balanced Building Form however due to</li> </ul>	No

	Note: Due to site constraints and other requirements of this plan, the maximum FSR will not always be achieved on every development site.	updates to the Fairfield City Wide DCP 2013 the control is transferred into 6A.2.1 FSR and is still relevant to the proposal. Noted.	No
6A.2.2 Buildin	g Height		1
	<ul> <li>Objectives <ul> <li>a) To ensure building height is compatible with</li> <li>neighbourhood character.</li> <li>b) To minimise overshadowing of neighbouring properties.</li> <li>c) To maintain privacy and</li> <li>limit side and rear views of</li> <li>neighbouring properties.</li> <li>d) To maintain sunlight in</li> <li>public and private open</li> <li>spaces.</li> </ul></li></ul>	Not achieved.	No
	Controls a) Maximum height of building for any multi dwelling housing development must comply with height of building development standard prescribed on the Fairfield LEP 2013 Height of Building Map noting the following exceptions: i. For land in Zone R3 Medium Density Residential (shown as Area A on the height of building map) the maximum height of building is 10 metres (3 storeys) if— 1. the building is located on a corner site that consists of at least 2 street frontages; and 2. the primary and secondary street frontages for the site are at least 22 metres; and 3. all car parking for the development is provided in a basement.	The multi dwelling housing complies with the maximum 9m height allowed by the LEP and the exceptions in the clause are not relevant to the proposal and it is noted the size is not in Area A on the height of building map where 3 storeys are now permitted subject to meeting certain criteria.	Yes

<ul> <li>ii. Clause 4.6 Exceptions to Development Standards of the Fairfield LEP 2013 allows Council to consider variations to development standards (e.g. height of building) where justified to the satisfaction of Council; and</li> <li>iii. Clause 5.6 Architectural Roof Features of Fairfield LEP 2013 allows Council to consider variations to development standards (e.g. height of building) for decorative roof elements that enhance a building's appearance;</li> </ul>			
iv. Where solar access and privacy requirements (see Sections 6A.5.1 Solar Access and 6A.5.3 Privacy) cannot be met, some dwellings may need to be lowered in height to comply; and	Proposal complies with controls in 6A.5.1 Solar Access. Proposal does not comply with 6A.5.3. The DCP requires some dwellings to be lowered in height to comply with these requirements, however as already noted, the upper levels to ground level ratio is 130% where the DCP allows up to 65%, indicating that the development is an over intensification of the site that will result in poor amenity and privacy outcomes.	No	
v. Clause 4.3 Height of Building of the Fairfield Local Environment Plan 2013, identifies the objectives for maximum height of buildings	The development whilst complying with the LEPs numerical control for overall height does not meet the DCPs specific controls relating to wall heights, eaves and ridge heights and in such way is inconsistent with the	No	
		streetscape and	
----------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------	-----
		established character	
		of the surrounding	
		residential	
		neighbourhood.	
	b) For multi dwelling housing	All units comply with	No
	development with a maximum	the maximum 9m.	
	height of buildings of 9 metres		
	(2 storeys):	All 53 units have a	
		skillion type roof and	
	i. For buildings with pitched	therefore the DCP	
	roofs, the maximum heights of	requires the maximum	
	the eave line and the ridgeline	height of the buildings	
	from ground level (existing)	to be maximum 8m	
	shall not exceed 7.2 metres	and walls to be	
	and 9 metres respectively.	articulated.	
	ii. For buildings with parapet	24 out of 53 units do	
	walls and skillion (flat) roofs,	not comply with the	
	the maximum height of	maximum 8m height of	
	building shall be limited to 8	the DCP.	
	metres from ground level		
	(existing) and walls are to be		
	articulated.		
	c) All two storey and three	Provided.	Yes
	storey multi dwelling housing		
	applications must include a		
	sectional diagram that		
	indicates the height of the		
	development from ground level		
	(existing)		
6A.2.4 Buildin	g Separation		1
	Objectives	Not achieved.	No
	a) To ensure there is sufficient		
	spatial separation between		
	dwellings to accommodate		
	access (i.e. both vehicular and		
	pedestrian access) and		
	landscaping needs.		
	b) To ensure there is adequate		
	spacing of dwellings to protect		
	resident privacy and amenity		
	and to reduce overshadowing		
	and overlooking within the		
	development.		
	Controls	The site specific DCP	No
	a) The distance between any	controls in Chapter 10	
	two habitable rooms of	and outlined in the	
	separate dwellings on the	Table above provide	
	same property shall be no less	lesser building	

	than 9 metres.	separation	
		requirements. The	
		following units do not	
		comply with this	
		control:	
		Block D and F	
		- 7m to 8 15m at	
		around floor	
		- 8 2m at first floor	
		- 0.211 at mist noor	
		Lipits facing each other	
		along western access	
		8.5m to 8.85m	
		minimum at both	
		ground and first floor	
		Eastern access road	
		8.5m minimum at	
		ground floor	
	b) The distance between any	None of the units	No
	window and door opening of a	comply with this	
	habitable room and non-	requirement and a poor	
	habitable room on the same	level of amenity is	
	property shall be no less than	likely to be achieved as	
	6 metres.	a result of proximity of	
		openings.	
	c) The windows of the non-	A Window Schedule	No
	habitable shall either:	was not provided and	
	i. Have a sill level above 1.8	these details have not	
	metre above internal finished	been annotated on the	
	floor level, or	plans, as such the	
	ii. Have a permanent privacy	proposal is unlikely to	
	screen. or	comply.	
	iii. Have glazing that is non-		
	operable and is of a		
	translucent material		
6A.2.6 Size of	Rooms and Storage	1	1
	Objectives	The development does	No
	a) To maintain a high quality of	not achieve these	
	amenity within each dwelling.	objectives.	
	b) To ensure room sizes are	,	
	functional, are of sufficient size		
	and cater for intended use		
	c) To ensure bulky storage		
	provisions are provided within		
	each dwelling to avoid storage		
	items reducing the effective		
	use of the garage as a vehicle		
L	ass of the guidge us a vehicle	1	1

storage area and sheds in the open space.		
<ul> <li>a) Combined living and dining rooms are to have a minimum length and width of 4m in any direction and a minimum area of:</li> <li>i. 1 and 2 bed - 24m<sup>2</sup></li> <li>ii. 3+ bed - 28m<sup>2</sup></li> </ul>	The majority of the 53 units do not meet this control and have living spaces with a width that is less than 4m and is inadequate considering the number of occupants and bedrooms/studies.	No
	There are no 1 or 2 bedroom units. All units are 3 or 4 bedroom units.	
<ul> <li>b) ) Main bedroom has a minimum area of 12m<sup>2</sup> and a minimum length and width of 3m in any direction, excluding space for a wardrobe.</li> </ul>	Bedrooms in Block A, Block B and Block H are less than 3m.	No
c) Other bedrooms shall be a minimum of 10m <sup>2</sup> and a minimum length and width of 3m in any direction, excluding space for a wardrobe.	Bedrooms in Block A, Block B and Block H are less than 3m.	No
d) Bathrooms are to have a minimum floor area of 5m <sup>2</sup> .	Achieved.	Yes
e) A furniture plan must be shown on plans in order for alternative minimum areas to be considered.	Furniture plans provided however alternative minimum areas are not considered to be appropriate given the number of bedrooms and occupants likely to live in the units and the inadequacy of the main open plan living areas.	No
<ul> <li>f) In addition to storage in kitchens and bedrooms, the following storage is required:</li> <li>i. a minimum dimension of 500mm is provided:</li> <li>a. 1 bed 6m<sup>3</sup></li> <li>b. 2 bed 8m<sup>3</sup></li> <li>c. 3+ bed 10m<sup>3</sup></li> <li>ii. At least 50% of the required storage is located inside the dwelling.</li> </ul>	8m <sup>3</sup> provided instead of 10m <sup>3</sup> for all 53 units.	No

64.2.7 400000	iii. Storage not located in dwellings is secure and clearly allocated to specific dwellings if in a common area. Note: All architectural floor plans shall show full dimensions of internal floor layout to demonstrate that the above controls have been achieved	Dimensions are not provided for all elements.	No
6A.2.7 Access	<ul> <li>for all Residents</li> <li>Objectives <ul> <li>a) To provide easy access for all, including people with prams, wheelchairs, walking difficulties, sight, hearing or intellectual impairments.</li> <li>b) To ensure a single storey component is incorporated into all development sites to account for the needs of individuals with disabilities and the elderly.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Not achieved.	No
	Controls a) All applications must include a statement detailing how the development will comply with the provisions of the Disability Discrimination Act 1992. The following specific elements are required: i. Townhouse/villa development must have night lighting along all driveways and footpaths throughout the site. ii. Any signage on the site (e.g. parking) must be clear and simple to understand. iii. Ramps should have gradients not exceeding 1 in 14, and have an even, non-slip surface. iv. Unnecessary barriers to direct access must be avoided.	Generally achieved.	Yes
	b) The table below provides the type of single storey component required based on the number of dwellings in the development.	The development requires 6 single storey accessible villas to be provided in order to comply with this	No

Total Number of Requirement	requirement.	
Dwellings Units         Forgational           0 - 5 dwellings         At least one bedroom on the ground floor for one dwelling.	No villas have been	
6 - 10 dwellings         One single storey accessible villa           10 or more         One single storey accessible villa per 10 units or part there	provided.	
dwellings of	However 6 out of the	
	53 units have a	
	bedroom on the ground	
	floor as required by	
	Chapter 10.	
	The variation is not	
	supported in view of	
	the exceedance of the	
	upper to ground floor	
	GFAs and it is	
	considered that there is	
	possibility for the site to	
	provide villas if	
	compliance with the	
	built form controls are	
	met therefore the	
	variation is not	
	considered to be	
	reasonable.	
c) The number of accessible	Accessible units have	No
units specified above must	not been provided.	
meet any relevant Australian		
Standard and Building Code of		
Australia requirements relating		
with the following minimum		
controls incorporated within		
the dwellings designed to be		
able to accommodate		
wheelchairs		
i. Access to front door and		
private open space		
ii. Internal door and		
passageways		
iii. Ground level toilet and		
shower.		
d) For developments with	The units that have	Not
basement car parking,	basement parking are	applicable
separate pedestrian access	at the centre of the site	
from the street must be	and do not have any	
provided to each dwelling.	street frontage.	
e) For townhouse/villa	Not all the units have	Partly
developments with basement	basement access,	
car parking one of the	however the basement	
following must be achieved:	has been provided with	
II. Installation of a mechanical		
assistance device i.e. lift or	⊢or the remainder of	

"stair lift" that provides access	units that have at-	
to the ground level: or	grade parking, no	
iii Disabled parking on ground	accessible parking	
level with potential	spaces have been	
concessions on parking in the	provided on site	
front setback for accessible		
analog (analog head to be		
spaces (spaces need to be		
allocated to the accessible unit		
provided on the site in any		
strata plan).		
g Position / Orientation		
Objectives	Achieved.	Yes
a) To ensure the dwellings are		
oriented toward the street.		
b) To ensure development		
provides opportunities for		
street surveillance and		
connectivity		
Controls	Whilst the development	Yes
a) For dwellings along the front	is not oriented to the	100
or side street boundary the	surrounding public	
front door of cook dwolling in	streets the design	
directly visible from the nychlic	sueets, the design	
directly visible from the public	orients buildings to the	
street	private internal road.	
b) Where the development site	Achieved.	Yes
has a street frontage of more		
than 30 metres and more than		
six dwellings are proposed, the		
front doors of at least two		
dwellings located to the rear of		
the property are to be visible		
from the street		
c) Windows fronting a road	Achieved	Yes
from babitable rooms are to		100
overlook the public domain		
d) On compariation the	The multi duvelling	Not
d) On corner sites the	The multi dwelling	NOL
dweilings must address both		applicable
streets by incorporating	on the corner of the	
appropriate architectural	site.	
features, articulation to the		
dwelling and root form.		
oment Facade		
Objectives	Not achieved.	No
a) To promote well designed		
buildings of high architectural		
quality that contribute to the		
local character.		
local character. b) To ensure multi dwelling		
local character. b) To ensure multi dwelling housing is articulated along		
	"stair lift" that provides access to the ground level; or iii. Disabled parking on ground level with potential concessions on parking in the front setback for accessible spaces (spaces need to be allocated to the accessible unit provided on the site in any strata plan). <b>g Position / Orientation</b> <b>Objectives</b> a) To ensure the dwellings are oriented toward the street. b) To ensure development provides opportunities for street surveillance and connectivity <b>Controls</b> a) For dwellings along the front or side street boundary, the front door of each dwelling is directly visible from the public street b) Where the development site has a street frontage of more than 30 metres and more than six dwellings are proposed, the front doors of at least two dwellings located to the rear of the property are to be visible from the street. c) Windows fronting a road from habitable rooms are to overlook the public domain. d) On corner sites the dwellings must address both streets by incorporating appropriate architectural features, articulation to the dwelling and roof form. <b>oment Facade</b> <b>Objectives</b> a) To promote well designed buildings of high architectural quality that contribute to the	"stair lift" that provides access to the ground level; or iii. Disabled parking on ground level with potential concessions on parking in the front setback for accessible spaces (spaces need to be allocated to the accessible unit provided on the site in any strata plan).units that have at- grade parking, no accessible parking spaces have been provided on site. <b>g Position / Orientation</b> <b>Objectives</b> a) To ensure the dwellings are oriented toward the street. b) To ensure development provides opportunities for street surveillance and connectivityAchieved. <b>Controls</b> a) For dwellings along the front or side street boundary, the front door of each dwelling is directly visible from the public streetWhilst the development surrounding public streets, the design oriented buildings at proposed, the from the street.b) Where the development six dwellings are proposed, the from the street. c) Windows fronting a road from habitable rooms are to overlook the public domain. d) On corner sites the dwellings must address both streets by incorporating appropriate architectural features, articulation to the dwellings of high architectural geaproprised schelesing and roof form.Not achieved.

	secondary (side street)		
	<ul> <li>Controls <ul> <li>a) Building elevations fronting</li> <li>the street or internal driveways</li> <li>must be divided into segments</li> <li>or bays no longer than 5</li> <li>metres.</li> </ul> </li> <li>b) A change in the façade</li> <li>plane, ridge line and eaves</li> <li>line will be required after a 5</li> <li>metre distance.</li> </ul>	Not achieved for most blocks. Only Block A and Block B facing Orange Grove Road meet this requirement. The multi unit housing is repetitive and this is exacerbated by the non-compliant upper to lower level GFA ratio.	No
	c) Switchboards for gas, electricity, etc. must not be attached to the front elevations of the buildings.	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
	<ul> <li>d) The development may have a primary road articulation zone that extends up to 1.5m forward of the minimum required setback from the primary road. The following elements can be located in the articulation zone:</li> <li>i. An entry feature or portico.</li> <li>ii. A balcony, deck, pergola, terrace or verandah.</li> <li>iii. A window box treatment.</li> <li>iv. A bay window or similar feature.</li> <li>v. An awning or other feature over a window.</li> <li>vi. A sun shading feature.</li> <li>vii. An eave.</li> </ul>	The development does not incorporate these features into the primary setback to Orange Grove Road.	Not applicable
6A.5.3 Privacy	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1
	<ul> <li>Objectives <ul> <li>a) To ensure privacy is</li> <li>maximised for neighbours of</li> <li>the development and those</li> <li>who will occupy the</li> <li>townhouse/villas.</li> <li>b) To avoid overlooking of</li> <li>private open spaces and</li> <li>windows of nearby dwellings.</li> <li>c) To limit noise transmission</li> <li>to nearby dwellings</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Not achieved.	No
	<b>Controls</b> a) Townhouse/villas should be designed so that the number of windows directly	The development does not comply with the controls in Chapter 6A.5.3 Privacy.	No

overlooking adiacent dwellings	It is considered that the	
is kept to a minimum.	development has not	
•	responded to the	
	privacy needs of	
	occupants dwellings or	
	neighbours given the	
	- rows of windows	
	directly facing onto	
	neighbouring	
	properties as well as	
	within the townhouse	
	without repositioning or	
	utilising different	
	orientations	
	ii inadequate privacy	
	measures and	
	differences in levels	
	such between Block C	
	and F	
	iii poor placement of	
	Unit 19 directly	
	adjacent to the on-site	
	loading bay without	
	adequate physical	
	separation.	
b) Whore upper fleer windows	Impaging these	NIa
	Imposina lnese	INO
result in unreasonable or	requirements would	INO
result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues.	requirements would severely reduce the	NO
result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require:	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants.	NO
result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: i. A sill height of no less than	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a	NO
result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: i. A sill height of no less than 1.5 metres from the upper floor	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate	NO
result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: i. A sill height of no less than 1.5 metres from the upper floor finished floor level,	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design	NO
result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: i. A sill height of no less than 1.5 metres from the upper floor finished floor level, ii. Frosted glazing,	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that	NO
result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: i. A sill height of no less than 1.5 metres from the upper floor finished floor level, ii. Frosted glazing, iii. Screening, or	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the	NO
result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: i. A sill height of no less than 1.5 metres from the upper floor finished floor level, ii. Frosted glazing, iii. Screening, or iv. The use of some other	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the	NO
result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: i. A sill height of no less than 1.5 metres from the upper floor finished floor level, ii. Frosted glazing, iii. Screening, or iv. The use of some other method to maximise privacy	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides	NO
result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: i. A sill height of no less than 1.5 metres from the upper floor finished floor level, ii. Frosted glazing, iii. Screening, or iv. The use of some other method to maximise privacy	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response	NO
result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: i. A sill height of no less than 1.5 metres from the upper floor finished floor level, ii. Frosted glazing, iii. Screening, or iv. The use of some other method to maximise privacy	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response to the row of opposing	NO
result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: i. A sill height of no less than 1.5 metres from the upper floor finished floor level, ii. Frosted glazing, iii. Screening, or iv. The use of some other method to maximise privacy	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response to the row of opposing windows and POS.	INO
<ul> <li>c) Facing windows closer than</li> </ul>	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response to the row of opposing windows and POS. The site specific DCP	No
<ul> <li>b) Where upper hoor windows result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: <ol> <li>A sill height of no less than</li> <li>metres from the upper floor finished floor level,</li> <li>Frosted glazing,</li> <li>Screening, or</li> <li>The use of some other method to maximise privacy</li> </ol> </li> <li>c) Facing windows closer than 9 metres will require additional</li> </ul>	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response to the row of opposing windows and POS. The site specific DCP controls in Chapter 10	No
<ul> <li>c) Facing windows closer than 9 metres will require additional appropriate privacy measures.</li> </ul>	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response to the row of opposing windows and POS. The site specific DCP controls in Chapter 10 and outlined in the	No
<ul> <li>c) Facing windows closer than 9 metres will require additional appropriate privacy measures.</li> </ul>	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response to the row of opposing windows and POS. The site specific DCP controls in Chapter 10 and outlined in the Table above provide	No
<ul> <li>b) Where upper hoor windows result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: <ol> <li>A sill height of no less than</li> <li>metres from the upper floor finished floor level,</li> <li>Frosted glazing,</li> <li>Screening, or</li> <li>The use of some other method to maximise privacy</li> </ol> </li> <li>c) Facing windows closer than 9 metres will require additional appropriate privacy measures.</li> </ul>	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response to the row of opposing windows and POS. The site specific DCP controls in Chapter 10 and outlined in the Table above provide lesser building	No
<ul> <li>c) Where upper hoor windows result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: <ul> <li>A sill height of no less than</li> <li>5 metres from the upper floor finished floor level,</li> <li>Frosted glazing,</li> <li>Screening, or</li> <li>The use of some other method to maximise privacy</li> </ul> </li> <li>c) Facing windows closer than 9 metres will require additional appropriate privacy measures.</li> </ul>	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response to the row of opposing windows and POS. The site specific DCP controls in Chapter 10 and outlined in the Table above provide lesser building separation	No
<ul> <li>c) Where upper hoor windows result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: <ul> <li>i. A sill height of no less than</li> <li>1.5 metres from the upper floor finished floor level,</li> <li>ii. Frosted glazing,</li> <li>iii. Screening, or</li> <li>iv. The use of some other method to maximise privacy</li> </ul> </li> <li>c) Facing windows closer than 9 metres will require additional appropriate privacy measures.</li> </ul>	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response to the row of opposing windows and POS. The site specific DCP controls in Chapter 10 and outlined in the Table above provide lesser building separation requirements. The	No
<ul> <li>b) Where upper hoor windows result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: <ol> <li>A sill height of no less than</li> <li>metres from the upper floor finished floor level,</li> <li>Frosted glazing,</li> <li>Screening, or</li> <li>The use of some other method to maximise privacy</li> </ol> </li> <li>c) Facing windows closer than 9 metres will require additional appropriate privacy measures.</li> </ul>	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response to the row of opposing windows and POS. The site specific DCP controls in Chapter 10 and outlined in the Table above provide lesser building separation requirements. The following units do not	No
<ul> <li>c) Where upper hoor windows result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: <ul> <li>A sill height of no less than</li> <li>5 metres from the upper floor finished floor level,</li> <li>Frosted glazing,</li> <li>Screening, or</li> <li>The use of some other method to maximise privacy</li> </ul> </li> <li>c) Facing windows closer than 9 metres will require additional appropriate privacy measures.</li> </ul>	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response to the row of opposing windows and POS. The site specific DCP controls in Chapter 10 and outlined in the Table above provide lesser building separation requirements. The following units do not comply with this	No
<ul> <li>c) Where upper hoor windows result in unreasonable or unavoidable privacy issues, Council is likely to require: <ul> <li>i. A sill height of no less than</li> <li>1.5 metres from the upper floor finished floor level,</li> <li>ii. Frosted glazing,</li> <li>iii. Screening, or</li> <li>iv. The use of some other method to maximise privacy</li> </ul> </li> <li>c) Facing windows closer than 9 metres will require additional appropriate privacy measures.</li> </ul>	requirements would severely reduce the amenity of occupants. It is considered that a more considerate architectural design solution is required that avoids the repetitiveness of the built form and provides an alternate response to the row of opposing windows and POS. The site specific DCP controls in Chapter 10 and outlined in the Table above provide lesser building separation requirements. The following units do not comply with this control:	No

		Block D and F	
		- 7m to 8.15m at	
		ground floor	
		- 8.2m at first floor	
		<u>Units facing each other</u>	
		along western access	
		road	
		8.5m to 8.85m	
		minimum at both	
		ground and first floor	
		Units facing each other	
		along eastern access	
		road	
		8.5m minimum at	
		around floor	
	d) Upper floor balconies or	None proposed	Yes
	roof terraces are not permitted		
	unless they are on the		
	elevation facing the street		
	e) Recreational facilities such	Originally a pool was	Yes
	as swimming pools tennis	located directly next to	100
	courts or play equipment	neighbours windows	
	must be located away from	however has been	
	hedrooms of dwellings	deleted from the plans	
	f) Noise reduction between	The Acoustic Report	Ves
	common walls and floors is to	suggests the	100
	comply with the provisions of		
	the Building Code of Australia	comply with the	
		established controls	
		No issues were raised	
		by Council's Public	
		Environmental (DUSE)	
		Section	
60 5 1 Solar 0	22022		
	Objectives	The proposal meets	Yes
	a) To encourage the benefits	these objectives	100
	of winter sun and minimise the		
	intrusion of summer heat in		
	design		
	b) To ensure internal living		
	spaces and private open		
	snace has adequate access to		
	sunlight		
	c) To maintain direct sunlight		
	to adjacent dwellings		
	Controls	The Shadow Diagram	Yes
	a) All dwellings must be		103
1	a, a anoningo muot bu	Continuation	1

designed to ensure: i. Living areas are orientated towards north where possible ii. all north facing living area windows and more than two- thirds of the private open space receives at least 3 hours of direct sunlight between 9.00am and 3.00pm on 21 June. iii. the potential for sunlight to penetrate the development through windows and atriums for dwellings on east-west orientated lots is maximised, limiting the use of skylights for bathrooms and utility rooms only.	documents submitted with the application in May 2023 indicate that the proposed development complies with the solar access controls.	
b) The windows to at least one living area of a dwelling on a neighbouring/adjoining dwelling/s must receive a minimum 3 hours of sunlight between 9.00am and 3.00pm at the mid–winter solstice. Where this requirement is already not achieved prior to a multi dwelling housing development, the development must not result in additional overshadowing on the affected living area of the dwelling.	Neighbouring living No. 1 Smiths Avenue will be impacted by shadows from 1pm and any windows that may be impacted can still comply with Council's DCP and are capable of receiving a minimum 3 hours of solar access. No. 3 Smiths Avenue building will be impacted by shadows from 3pm as such if any windows are impacted they can still comply with Council's DCP and are capable of receiving a minimum 3 hours of solar access. No. 398 Cabramatta Road West building will be impacted by shadows from 2pm as such if any windows are impacted they can still comply with Council's DCP and are	Yes

	aanabla of reaciving a	
	minimum 3 nours of	
	solar access.	
	Neighbouring POS No. 1 Smiths Avenue will comply as it will be impacted by shadows from 12pm but will comply with Council's DCP as it is capable of receiving a minimum of 3 hours of solar access however it appears its POS has been diminished by structures at the rear.	
	No. 3 Smiths Avenue will comply as it will be impacted by shadows from 2pm but will comply with Council's DCP as it is capable of receiving a minimum of 3 hours of solar access to its POS.	
	No. 398 Cabramatta Road West will comply as it will be impacted by shadows from 2pm but will comply with Council's DCP as it is capable of receiving a minimum of 3 hours of solar access however it appears its POS has been diminished by structures at the rear.	
c) A development should maintain solar access to a solar hot water system, photovoltaic panel, or other solar collector on an allotment or adjoining allotment.	Some impact to neighbouring solar panels will occur from the development however the impact is compliant with the ADG.	Yes
d) Garages, bathrooms and laundries should be located in areas with a west to southwest	Appropriately located.	Yes

orientation, with windows (glazing) minimised in size and number as well as being protected with effective sun shades.		
<ul> <li>e) Council may require the preparation of shadow diagrams showing the impact of a proposal on the adjacent residential buildings and their private open space. Such diagrams shall be based on a survey of the site and adjoining development. The shadow diagrams shall include: <ol> <li>all openings and windows of adjoining buildings; and</li> <li>shall demonstrate likely shadow impact on the 21 June for 9 am, 12 pm, 3 pm</li> </ol> </li> <li>Note: Habitable rooms include kitchens, living, dining rooms and the like.</li> </ul>	Details shadow certification was submitted illustrating the impacts of the proposal.	Yes

## c. Chapter 7 Residential Flat Buildings

The application has been assessed against the relevant controls of Chapter 7 Residential Flat Buildings of the Fairfield CityWide DCP 2013 as outlined below. This section only applies to the Stage 2 Residential Flat Building and not to the Stage 1 Multi Dwelling Housing.

 Table 3. Fairfield CityWide DCP 2013: Chapter 7 Residential Flat Buildings

Section	Control	Proposal	Compliance	
Section 7.1 Introduction				
7.1.3.1 Site requirements for residential flat building development on irregular lots	A residential flat building development proposed on irregular lots will be assessed on its merits and maximum FSR and height controls may not be achieved. The appropriate FSR and height will be assessed taking into consideration the objectives and controls that apply to similar sized regular lots and the opportunities and constraints of the site and the ability of the design to comply	The subject land is generally regular shaped and typical of a corner allotment and is suitable for a residential flat building.	Yes	

	with all other existing relevant		
	controls		
	An irregular lot is defined as a		
	lot that is not rectangular in		
	shape		
Section 7.2 Sr	pecial considerations		
7.2.3	a) All applications must include	An Accessibility	Yes
Accessible	a statement on how the	Compliance Report	
and family	development will comply with	was submitted with	
friendly units	the provisions of the Disability	the proposal and	
	Discrimination Act, and follow	reviewed by Council's	
	the accessibility standard set	Building Control	
	out in Australian Standard AS	Branch who raised no	
	1428 (parts 1 and 2), as a	issues.	
	minimum.		
		0	Maa
	b) One accessible unit per ten	y accessible units are	res
	provided and meet any	are proposed	
	relevant Australian Standard	The following is	
	and Building Code of Australia	proposed:	
	requirements relating to	- 1 adaptable unit at	
	wheelchair accessibility, with	ground floor and 1	
	the following minimum controls	silver level	
	incorporated within the	- 2 adaptable and 3	
	dwellings designed to be able	silver level at Level 1,	
	to accommodate wheelchairs:	2 and 3	
	a. Access to front door and	- 2 adaptable and 3	
	private open space,	silver level at Level 4	
	b. Internal door and	- 5 silver level at top	
	passageways,		
	c. Tollet and shower,	I Otal	
		9 adaptable and 17	
	c) Ramps should have	The requirements in	Yes
	gradients not exceeding 1 in	c), d), e) f) a) are	
	14, and have an even. non-slip	able to be met.	
	surface,		
	d) Developments must provide		
	barrier free access to at least		
	20 percent of dwellings in the		
	development,		
	e) Pedestrians must be able to		
	identify the access points from		
	the street or car parking area		
	to the apartment entrance,		
	1) Pathways and corridors must		
	be well illuminated and		
	urectional signs/notices be		

easily read, g) A mix of one and three- bedroom apartments on the ground level where accessibility is more easily achieved for the disabled, elderly people or families with children is to be provided,		
<ul> <li>h) Provide a minimum of 25% of two-, three- and four-bedroom apartments as 'family friendly apartments' to accommodate the needs of families with children, with a higher ratio of two and three bedrooms.</li> <li>i) Locate 'family-friendly apartments' on ground level, with direct access to outdoor space to allow visibility of communal outdoor space for passive supervision of playing children.</li> <li>j) Grouping family-friendly apartments together to encourage social interaction and a sense of community between families with children.</li> <li>k) Locate 'family friendly' apartments together to encourage social interaction and a sense of community between families with children.</li> <li>k) Locate 'family friendly' apartment closest to the car parking provided on site.</li> <li>l) Ground floor apartments must be provided with separate entries and access to private open space, preferably as a terrace or garden, wherever possible. Development proposals are encouraged to investigate the possibility of flexible apartment configurations, which support change in the future. Design solutions may include the minimisation of internal structural walls and higher floor</li> </ul>	The requirements from h) through to m) were introduced to the DCP after the lodgement of the DA as such the submitted plans do not address or achieve these requirements. There are no savings provisions applicable as such any future DA for the site would need to consider these matters.	Ν

	to floor dimensions on the		
	ground floor.		
	m) Living rooms should		
	consider floor area for play (2m		
	x 3m flexible play space) with		
	visibility to the kitchen for		
	passive supervision.		
	n) Developments to consider		
	an additional 10% of storage		
	on top of the minimum ADG		
	femily friendly unit. Consider		
	walk in storage closets to		
	accommodate the storage of		
	larger items Increased ballway		
	widths in family friendly units to		
	1 5m to allow for manoeuvring		
	prams throughout the		
	apartment.		
7.2.4	Relevant controls,	Council's engineers	Yes
Stormwater	performance criteria and where	have assessed this	
Disposal	the policy applies can be found	aspect of the	
	in Chapter 3 of the Stormwater	proposal and are	
	Management Policy –	satisfied with the	
	September 2017.	proposed	
7.2.5	Relevant controls,	arrangements for	
On Site	performance criteria and where	stormwater disposal	
Detention	the policy applies can be found	and OSD.	
	in Chapter 4 of the Stormwater		
	Management Policy –		
7.0.0	September 2017.	<b>T</b> 1.6	
1.2.6	Passenger lift access is	I wo lifts are provided	Yes
LINS	required for any residential flat	for each level.	
	building either 4 levels above		
	ground with no basement		
	ground and including		
	basement parking		
Section 7 3 Pr	iblic Domain		
7.3.1	Numerous requirements	Not relevant to the	Not applicable
Active Street	relevant to local and	subject site which is	
Frontages	neighbourhood centres.	residential only.	
Section 7.4 B	uilt Form	<b>,</b>	1
7.4.3	Numerous requirements	Not relevant to the	Not applicable
Building	relating to building setbacks	subject site which has	
Setbacks	however as the subject site	site specific DCP	
	has a site specific DCP in	controls which	
	Chapter 10, these controls are	address setbacks, in	

	considered to be not relevant	Chapter 10 of the	
		DCF WIICH was	
7 4 4			N.L
7.4.4 Desidation of	a) Building facades shall:		INO
Building	I. define a base, middle and	articulation of the	
Articulation	top related to the overall	residential flat	
	proportion of the building by	building to the north	
	using cornices, a change in	and west is	
	materials or building setback;	considered to be	
	ii. reflect the orientation of the	aesthetic and high	
	site using elements such as	quality, with the	
	sun shading, light shelves and	design meeting these	
	bay windows as environmental	controls.	
	controls, depending on the	The southern and	
	facade orientation;	eastern elevation is	
	iii. express the internal layout	not considered to be	
	of the building, for example,	appropriately treated.	
	vertical bays or its structure,	This is further	
	such as party wall-divisions	discussed under the	
	and the variation in floor to	ADG in Table 5.	
	floor height, particularly at the		
	lower levels;		
	iv. articulate building entries		
	with awnings, porticos,		
	recesses, blade walls and		
	projecting bays		
	v. use recessed balconies and		
	deep windows to create		
	articulation and define		
	shadows thereby adding visual		
	depth to the façade;		
	vi. express important corners		
	by giving visual prominence to		
	parts of the facade, for		
	example, a change in building		
	articulation, material or colour,		
	roof expression or increased		
	height;		
	vii. co-ordinate and integrate		
	building services and utility		
	items, such as drainage pipes;		
	and security grills/screens,		
	ventilation louvers and car park		
	entry doors with overall facade		
	and balcony design		
Section 7.5 A	menity		
7.5.1	a) Buildings should be	Refer to Table 5 as	See Table 5
Ventilation	designed in accordance with	ADG controls prevail	
	the provisions of Part 4B –	over the DCP.	
	Natural Ventilation of the		

	Apartment Design Guide.		
7.5.1.1	Air conditioning	Some balconies	Yes
Air	units/condensers are to be	indicate air	
Conditioning	located within the basement, or	conditioning units and	
Units	on the upper most roof, within	others do not. Council	
	the building, or similar areas	identified the	
	that allow for concealment of	discrepancy and the	
	the air conditioning units. Air	applicant responded	
	conditioning units are not to	annotating the plans	
	permitted to be located on:	to indicate that where	
	a) The building façade,	air conditioning units	
	b) Terraces,	are not proposed in	
	c) Private or communal open	balconies, they will be	
	spaces,	located on the roof	
	d) Balconies, or	with the number and	
	Any other similar location that	layout to be	
	is not appropriately concealed	confirmed at CC	
	or integrated into the built form.	stage. where located	
		on the balcony, the	
		integrated and do not	
		reduce the minimum	
		size of the balconies	
7.5.2.1	Buildings should be designed	Refer to Table 5 as	See Table 5
Visual	in accordance with the	ADG controls prevail	
Amenity	provisions of Part 3F – Visual	over the DCP.	
	Privacy of the Apartment		
	Design Guide		
7.5.2.2	a) Noise transmission BCA	Capable of complying	Yes
Acoustic	requirements - development	with the requirements	
Amenity	must comply with the noise	of a).	
	transmission requirements of		
	the Building Code of Australia		
	2004. Noise transmission must		
	be minimised through the		
	design of internal layouts of		
	apartments and the location of		
	balconics, and openings		
	balcomes, and openings.		
	b) Noise impact assessments	A Noise Impact	Yes
	may be required An	Assessment was	
	assessment of the existing and	submitted and	
	expected future noise levels	reviewed by Council's	
	together with a mitigation	PH&E Section with	
	strategy must be provided in	no further issues	
	the noise impact assessment.	raised after an	
		amended report was	
		submitted addressing	
		deficiencies identified	

	by Council.	
c) Noise attenuation measures must be incorporated in all new developments along Classified State and Regional Roads and Unclassified Regional Roads and properties in proximity to the railway line. Developments adjacent to rail corridors, shall take into consideration the provisions within SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 relating to impact of rail noise or vibration on non-rail development.	Site faces two major classified roads. Noise attenuation measures are incorporated and have been reviewed by Council's PH&E Section with no further issues raised.	Yes
<ul> <li>d) Land uses/activities noise conflicts minimised - In mixed-use developments, the design must minimise the transfer of noise between business and commercial activities and residential development by using measures that will address noise associated with:</li> <li>i. Goods and service deliveries as well as waste and garbage disposal and collections, particularly if this is occurring early in the morning or late at night;</li> <li>ii. Restaurants and cafes particularly those operating at night or those with outdoor seating; and</li> <li>iii. Extraction fans and air conditioning units.</li> </ul>	Development is not mixed-use however the conflicts between noise from waste management and conflict with residences has been addressed in the amended Acoustic Report submitted in March 2024, which demonstrates that established acoustic criteria can be met subject to compliance with the recommendations of the acoustic report.	Yes
e) Land use conflicts between existing and new development – Noise attenuation measures must be incorporated into all new residential development proposed near an existing retail/commercial property that generates noise at times or levels not compatible with residential living. An acoustic assessment and proposed acoustic attenuation measures	The site is opposite a service station and fast food premises and a golf course but the main noise source is from the abutting classified roads. As mentioned, the Acoustic Report demonstrates with mitigation measures, new residential	Yes

	are to be detailed in an Acoustic Report prepared by an Acoustic Engineer or suitably qualified individual. f) Air conditioning units proposed are to be detailed in the acoustic assessment.	development will comply with established noise criteria. The Acoustic Report has considered air conditioning units and other plant and equipment, making recommendations specific to these	Yes
753 Solar	a) Ruildings should be	matters.	Soo Tabla 5
Access	designed in accordance with the provisions of Part 4A – Solar and Daylight Access of the Apartment Design Guide.	ADG controls prevail over the DCP.	See Table 5
7.5.4 Private Open Space	a) Buildings should be designed in accordance with the provisions of Part 4E – Private open space and balconies of the Apartment Design Guide.	Refer to Table 5 as ADG controls prevail over the DCP.	See Table 5
7.5.5 Common open space (COS)	The area of open space should generally be between 25% to 30% of the site. a) should incorporate a minimum 25% of deep soil zone. b) be located within a north, north-east orientation. c) must be accessible from all dwellings within the development. d) should only be accessible from within the site. e) should be overlooked by living areas. f) should ideally be centrally located rather than at the rear or front of a development site g) should include features such as seating, shade structures, child play equipment or barbeques to satisfy the recreation needs of all residents. h) Is not to include in its area calculation clothes drying	Refer to Table 5 as ADG controls prevail over the DCP. Notwithstanding the above, the following is noted: - minimum COS achieved - minimum deep soil zone is achieved COS is poorly located to the rear and side of the residential flat building. Inadequate facilities and embellishments incorporated into the space, not meeting f) and g). The COS achieves the requirements in c), d), e), h) and i).	See Table 5

756	areas, driveways and parking areas. i) may only be used for detention basins if the height difference between natural ground level and the lowest level of the basin is not more than 0.5 metres. a) All areas in a development	Achieved	Yes
Safety and Security	should be clearly recognisable as either private, common or public space.	Adheved.	
	b) A dwelling with street frontage should have a clear view of the footpath.	Achieved.	Yes
	c) Wall mounted night lighting in internal and external common area including along all driveways and footpaths must be provided throughout the site. As part of the Development Application a lighting plan may be required to be submitted that incorporates the following elements: a. Use of energy efficient diffused lights and/or movement sensitive lights; b. Lights directed towards access/egress routes to illuminate potential offenders, rather than towards buildings or resident observation points; c. Lighting with a wide beam of illumination reaching the beam of the next light, or the perimeter of the site or area being traversed	Capable of being complied with although it is noted a Lighting Plan was not required of the applicant.	Yes
	d) Barriers to prevent movement between roof spaces of adjoining dwellings will be required.	There is no ability to move between roof spaces.	Yes
	e) Dwellings must have a child- proof storage place for poisons or other dangerous	Capable of being provided inside units.	Yes

	substances.		
Section 7.6 Car Parking, Loading and Vehicle Access			
7.6.1 Car parking	<ul> <li>a) Off-street parking spaces must be provided as set out below:</li> <li>a. 1 space per dwelling, and</li> <li>b. 1 visitor space per 4 dwellings where a development has more than 2 proposed dwellings.</li> </ul>	Residential flat building requires 107 spaces and provides 107 spaces. See the assessment provided in Table 4 further below.	See Table 4
	b) Dimensions for parking spaces and turning areas must be in accordance with AS/NZS 2890.1;2004 Parking Facilities – Off-Street Car Parking and the Car Parking Chapter of the CityWide DCP.	Parking areas comply with AS however turning areas do not comply and concerns have been raised by Council's Traffic Engineer's who do not support the proposal.	No
	<ul> <li>c) Council gives preference to total or partial underground car parking wherever possible by:</li> <li>a. Retaining deep soil zones,</li> <li>b. Providing natural ventilation to sub-basement parking areas, and</li> <li>c. Integrating ventilation grills into building design.</li> </ul>	All parking for the residential flat building is provided in the basement and complies with this control.	Yes
7.6.2 Vehicle Access Controls	a) Driveway design must be in accordance with AS/NZS 2890.1;2004 Parking Facilities – Off-Street Car Parking and the Car Parking Chapter of the City Wide DCP, noting the need to accommodate regular garbage truck movements and delivery/removalist vans.	The driveway design does not allow a service vehicle and a passenger vehicle to simultaneously pass at the bends. Council's Traffic Engineers has raised concerns which are discussed in the report.	No
	b) Driveway location and vehicle access to properties should be at least 30 metres or as far as possible from an intersection with a State or regional road.	Achieved.	Yes
	c) venicle entries must be	no pedestriari access	INU

	located away from main	is proposed from	
	nodestrian entries and on	Links Avenue	
	pedestillari entities and on	LINKS Avenue.	
	be electrusted by		
	be obstructed by		
	power/leiephone poles, meler		
	boxes etc.		
			Vee
	d) Driveway width is generally	Driveway width is	res
	imited to a maximum of six	acceptable.	
	metres and should be		
	minimised to increase		
	landscaped area while		
	providing adequate space for		
	vehicles to manoeuvre and		
	pass at slow speeds.		
	a) Driveway length should be	Ashiousd	Vee
	e) Driveway length should be	Achieved.	res
	minimised where possible by		
	being broken into bays through		
	the use of landscape nodes.		
7.6.3 Splay CC		IINg Council's Engineer's	Natapplicable
	a) All corner lots at the		Not applicable
	Intersections of public roads	as well as Transport	
	will be required to maintain a		
	Setback to the corner of the	nave not identified	
	public road to improve site	this as a requirement	
	distances at intersections. In	for this site, as such it	
	this splay corner setback no	is considered not	
	buildings, fences or other	relevant to the site.	
	structures will be permitted.		
	Landscaping will be restricted		
	to lawn or low growing shrubs		
	and other plant species. Splay		
	corner setbacks will generally		
	be required to be 6 metres x 6		
	metres in the following		
	suburbs: Wetherill Park,		
	Bossley Park, Prairiewood,		
	Wakeley, Greenfield Park,		
	Edensor Park, St Johns Park,		
	Abbotsbury and Bonnyrigg		
	Heights. Splay corner setbacks		
	in the others suburbs will		
	generally be required to be 3		
	metres by 3 metres.		
Section 7.7 Si	te Servicing and Loading	·	
7.7.1.1	Waste and Recycling Bin	The waste	No
vvaste	Storage and Collection Area	requirements for the	
Collection for	A bin storage area must be	site as per the site	
Residential	provided to include garbage	specific DCP controls	

Flat Buildings	and recycling bins for all dwellings onsite. This area is	in Chapter 10 as outlined in Table 1	
	for the storage and use by the	further above require	
	residential component of the	on-site collection of	
	building. This area must:	waste which will take	
	a) Include adequate space for	place by Council via	
	waste to be separated into	the private circulation	
	separate waste streams in	road and at the HRV	
	order to maximise recyclable	loading bay between	
	materials with the potential to	the residential flat	
	provide a garden and/ or food	building and the multi	
	organics service.	dwelling housing.	
	b) Be accessible and cause		
	minimal visual impact, noise,	Council's Waste	
	vermin or odour to public and	Management Section	
	adjoining private spaces.	has assessed the	
	c) In the cases where bins	application against	
	cannot be stored in private	Council's	
	areas, a location near the	requirements for	
	street frontage should be	waste storage and	
	designed for bin storage.	collection and raised	
	d) The bin storage area must	a number of issues	
	a) Be secured to provent	adoquatoly	
	upputhorised access	adequatery	
	f) Garbage and Recycling bins	annlicant These are	
	must not be visible from the	discussed under the	
	common or public areas	Key Issues section of	
	except when out for collection.	the report.	
	g) Be constructed using		
	materials impervious to water,	Council's Traffic	
	capable of being washed out to	Engineer has also	
	maintain them clean	raised concerns with	
	h) Be supplied with a fresh	the design of the	
	supply of water and provided	private circulation	
	with a drain connected to the	road which affects	
	sewer.	waste collection	
	i) Bins may be collected	vehicles.	
	through a kerbside collection.		
	The location of the proposed		
	bin collection point on the		
	Council verge must be shown		
	on the plans. The applicant		
	must demonstrate that there		
	will be no adverse impact on		
	streetscape for the provision of		
	a weekly darbade and		
	fortnightly recycling collection		
	service		
		1	1

<ul> <li>Bulky Waste Storage and Collection Area</li> <li>A designated household bulky waste storage area must be provided for all residential dwellings onsite. This area must be separate from the waste bin storage area, and if applicable, the loading and unloading area, temporary storage area and commercial waste bin storage areas. This area must:</li> <li>a) Be of a minimum of 10m2 for up to 40 units / apartments. For every additional 10 units, an increase 2m2 must be added.</li> <li>b) Be accessible and cause minimal visual impact, noise, vermin or odour to public and adjoining private spaces.</li> <li>c) The bulky waste storage area must be well ventilated</li> <li>d) Be secured to prevent unauthorised access and reduce the potential of illegal dumping.</li> <li>e) Have a minimum entry way of 1.6m in width.</li> <li>f) Bulky waste may collected at kerbside. The proposed collection point on the Council verge must be shown on the plans to demonstrate that there will be no adverse impact on safety, traffic flow, amenity and</li> </ul>	As already mentioned above, Council's Waste Management Section has raised concerns with the bulky waste storage and collection area which are discussed under the Key Issues section of the report.	No
streetscape. On-site Collection of Waste Should a kerbside collection of waste bins or bulky waste not be appropriate for the building, an option for on-site collection will be considered. Collection points should be designed to ensure the storage and collection of waste is user friendly and readily accessible for the residents and the waste collector. In order to provide an	As already mentioned above, Council's Waste Management Section has raised concerns with the bulky waste storage and collection area which are discussed under the Key Issues section of the report.	No

adequate on-site collection: a) This collection location must	
a) This collection location must	
be approved by Council and it	
must be conveniently located	
for waste collection vehicles.	
b) The site must allow for	
waste collection vehicles to	
enter and exit in a forward	
direction and provide an	
adequate and safe	
manoeuvring space once on	
site.	
c) It is recommended that all	
onsite collection be on ground	
floor level.	
d) A minimum height clearance	
of 4.5m is required for a Heavy	
Rigid Vehicle with a minimum	
width of 5m.	
e) All vehicular manoeuvring	
space (including collection	
point) must be able to	
withstand a 22 tonne Heavy	
Rigid Vehicle.	
f) The site plans must include	
the location of collection point,	
including path of travel for	
waste collection.	
g) The collection of waste	
materials from the site shall be	
in accordance with the NSW	
Environmental Protection	
Authority, Industrial Noise	
Policy (2000), so as not to	
generate excessive noise.	
Residential Flat Building that As already mentioned No	
has 12 or more dwellings, above, Council's	
must provide a Waste Management	
comprehensive waste Section has raised	
management system concerns with the	
A Waste Management Plan for amended Waste	
the day to day management of Management Plan	
waste must be submitted as submitted by the	
part of the Development applicant in March	
Application and shall address 2024 which are	
the following: discussed under the	
Numerous requirements as Key Issues section of	
identified in the DCP including the report.	
but not limited to:	
- communal garbage and	

	recycling rooms - garbage and recycling compartment areas - garbage chutes - waste separation facilities - management and maintenance of waste.		
7.7.2 Electricity	a) Internal/on-site power poles must be located at the intersection of the front and side boundaries. They must be black or grey in colour.	The location of the substation has been indicated on the plans but is not supported as already noted.	No
	b) Electrical services must satisfy the requirements of Endeavour Energy.	The requirements in a), b) and c) are capable of being achieved.	
	c) Meter boxes are to be placed in positions acceptable to Endeavour Energy, but not face the street.		
	Space required to be allocated for any proposed indoor and pad mounted substations can be incorporated within final		
	architecture plans submitted to Council as part of the DA approvals process.		
7.7.3 Water and Sewerage	Water and sewerage connections must meet the requirements of Sydney Water.	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
7.7.4 TV Antennas	<ul> <li>a) Master TV antennas are to be provided to avoid having many individual antennas.</li> <li>b) The antenna must be located at the rear of the site to reduce visibility from the street.</li> </ul>	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
7.7.5 Satellite Dishes	Satellite dishes must be in accordance with the numerous requirements identified in this section of the DCP.	None proposed in the application but the controls are capable of being achieved.	Yes
7.7.6 Telephone	Telephone lines installation must be in accordance with the requirements of Telstra.	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
7.7.7 Mail Delivery	A letterbox must be provided in accordance with the requirements of Australia Post.	Plans show provision for letterboxes along the Orange Grove Road entry to the building.	Yes

Section 7.8 Landscaping			
7.8.1.1 Landscaping for	a) Landscaping is to: i. be prepared for the site by a landscape architect or other	An amended	Yes
Residential Flat Buildings	accredited professional with demonstrated experience. Refer to Landscape Planning Appendix for Landscaping Principles when seeking to prepare a landscape plan.	submitted in March 2024 and does not address all the issues raised by Council's Landscape Advisor.	
	ii. provide a deep soil zone of no less than 25% of the required open space area which adjoins deep soil zones of neighbouring properties where possible.	Refer to Table 5 as ADG controls prevail over the DCP.	See Table 5
7.8.2.1 Fences and Walls for Residential Flat Buildings	a) Fence design - Fences adjoining streets are to reflect the materials of the buildings that they front, highlight entrances and incorporate letterboxes, provide people with views to and from street activity, avoid continuous lengths of blank walls, and be softened with landscaping.	A mosaic clad 1.8m high solid wall is proposed at the street frontages however an advanced design was not provided.	No
	b) Front fence height - Front fences to a maximum height of 1.2m are desirable, however, front fences may be permitted to a maximum height of 1.8m where noise attenuation or safety require a higher fence.	1.8m is required for noise attenuation.	Yes
	c) Fences in floodways - Fences should not be constructed in floodways. Where this is unavoidable fences are to be constructed of flood compatible and open type materials that will not restrict the flow of flood waters and be resistant to blockage	Council's Development Engineer has considered this and raised no issues with the proposal.	Yes
7.9 Miscellaneous	Residential Flat Building and Mixed-use developments are required to submit and Urban Design Report, which forms part of the development	Prior to lodgement of the application, Council requested the applicant submit an Urban Design Report	No

application. This report	(UDR) demonstrating	
addresses the principles in	how the proposed	
SEPP 65 and the criteria in the	site layout and built	
Apartment Design Guide. For	form typology was	
further information on the	developed. A proper	
report, refer to Chapter 2.5.8 –	UDR analysing	
SEPP 65 Statement -	potential typologies	
Residential Flat Building and	was not provided	
Mixed-Use Developments.	derived, rather a copy	
•	of the 2019 UDR	
	forming part of the	
	Planning Proposal	
	was submitted for	
	information purposes.	
	Whilst a proper UDR	
	was not submitted,	
	general written	
	responses to SEPP	
	65 were provided and	
	these are attached.	

## d. Chapter 12 Car Parking, Vehicle and Access Management

 Table 4. Fairfield CityWide DCP 2013: Chapter 12 Car Parking, Vehicle and Access Management

Section	Control	Proposal	Compliance
12.1.1 Car	Residential Flat	The DCP generates the following	Yes
Parking	Building	parking demand for 85 units:	
Rates	Minimum car	- 85 residential parking spaces, and	
	parking provision	- 21.25 visitor spaces	
	must be provided	Total 106.25 spaces required	
	as follows for a	rounded up to 107 spaces	
	residential flat		
	building:	A total of 107 car parking spaces	
	<ul> <li>1 space per</li> </ul>	will be provided on site, designated	
	dwelling plus	as follows:	
	<ul> <li>1 space per 4</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>85 residential spaces</li> </ul>	
	dwellings for	<ul> <li>22 visitor spaces</li> </ul>	
	visitors.		
	Multi Dwelling	The site provides:	Yes
	Housing	<ul> <li>106 residential spaces and</li> </ul>	
	Site is in Location	complies.	
	B and all units are	<ul> <li>- 30 visitor spaces (providing a</li> </ul>	
	3 or more bedroom	surplus of 16 spaces).	
	dwellings.	A total of 136 spaces will be	
	53 units require 2	provided.	
	spaces each for a		
	total of 106		
	spaces, and 14		
	visitor spaces are		

required.		
Total parking provision:	243 parking spaces	Yes

## 2. SEPP (Housing) 2021: Chapter 4 Design of Residential Apartment Development

Chapter 4 of SEPP (Housing) 2021 contains the transferred provisions of SEPP 65 and is applicable to the proposed residential flat building. An assessment against the criteria of the ADG is provided in the tables below.

Objective	Design Criteria	Proposal	Compliance
Part 3 Siting	g the Development		
3A-1 Site Analysis	Site analysis illustrates that design decisions have been based on opportunities and constraints of the site conditions and their relationship to the surrounding context <u>Design guidance</u> Each element in the Site Analysis Checklist should be addressed (see Appendix 1)	Site analysis plan has been submitted and is acceptable.	Yes
3B-1 Orientation	Building types and layouts respond to the streetscape and site while optimising solar access within the development <u>Design guidance</u> Buildings along the street frontage define the street, by facing it and incorporating direct access from the street (see figure 3B.1)	Proposed building addresses the street.	Yes
	Where the street frontage is to the east or west, rear buildings should be orientated to the north Where the street frontage is to	Not relevant. Achieved.	Not applicable
	overshadowing to the south should be minimised and buildings behind the street frontage should be orientated to the east and west (see figure 3B.2)		res
3B-2 Orientation	Overshadowing of neighbouring properties is minimised during		

**Table 5.** Apartment Design Guide, July 2015

mid-winter		
Design guidance Living areas, private open space and communal open space should receive solar access in accordance with sections 3D Communal and public open space and 4A Solar and daylight access	Surrounding properties are detached dwelling houses with private open space (POS) in rear yards, and as such there are no existing neighbouring	Yes, See Table 1 and 2
3D requires developments achieve a minimum of 50% direct sunlight to the principal usable part of the communal open space for a minimum of 2 hours between 9 am and 3 pm on 21 June (mid winter)	impacted by the proposal. Solar access to neighbouring POS has been assessed against Council's DCP controls relevant to dwelling houses, instead of the ADG	
4AA requires Living rooms and private open spaces of at least 70% of apartments in a building receive a minimum of 2 hours direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid winter in the Sydney Metropolitan Area and in the Newcastle and Wollongong local government areas	control which is assuming that neighbours are apartments. The development as designed does not unreasonably impact neighbouring residents in terms of solar access.	
Solar access to living rooms, balconies and private open spaces of neighbours should be considered	Has been considered appropriately.	Yes
Where an adjoining property does not currently receive the required hours of solar access, the proposed building ensures solar access to neighbouring properties is not reduced by more than 20%	Adjoining properties currently enjoy an abundance of solar access.	Yes
If the proposal will significantly reduce the solar access of neighbours, building separation should be increased beyond minimums contained in section 3F Visual privacy	Proposed building does not significantly affected neighbours solar access however it is considered that overshadowing can be further minimised if building envelope and	Yes

		setbacks are amended to comply with setback distances of the DCP and ADG.	
	Overshadowing should be minimised to the south or down hill by increased upper level setbacks	See above comments. Development reduces setbacks to the south instead of increasing setbacks.	Yes
	It is optimal to orientate buildings at 90 degrees to the boundary with neighbouring properties to minimise overshadowing and privacy impacts, particularly where minimum setbacks are used and where buildings are higher than the adjoining development	Acceptable orientation proposed relative to boundary.	Yes
20.4	A minimum of 4 hours of solar access should be retained to solar collectors on neighbouring buildings	No. 1 Smith Avenue and No. 398 Cabramatta Road West both have solar panels that are not shown on the plans. A minimum 4 hours will be achieved between 9am to 1pm thereafter the panels will be overshadowed. Compliance with the ADG is achieved however the impact is a result of non- compliant setbacks and it is considered that the additional overshadowing can be further reduced with a compliant building envelope.	Yes
3C-1 Public	Transition between private and public domain is achieved without		
Domain Interface	compromising safety and security		
	<u>Design guidance</u> Terraces, balconies and	Direct entry has not	No
	courtyard apartments should	been provided and this	

have direct street entry, where appropriate	control is not considered appropriate for this site due to the hazards associated with the classified road frontage.	Yes
Changes in level between private terraces, front gardens and dwelling entries above the street level provide surveillance and improve visual privacy for ground level dwellings (see figure 3C.1)	Achieved.	Yos
Upper level balconies and windows should overlook the public domain	Achieved.	Tes
Front fences and walls along street frontages should use visually permeable materials and treatments. The height of solid fences or walls should be limited to 1m Length of solid walls should be limited along street frontages	A 1.8m solid acoustic barrier is necessary due to the location fronting two classified roads. A mosaic wall will be incorporated into the wall to improve the design quality and create a sense of entry to the Cabramatta precinct.	No
Opportunities should be provided for casual interaction between residents and the public domain. Design solutions may include seating at building entries, near letter boxes and in private courtyards adjacent to streets	Very few opportunities for social interaction are provided and are limited to benches at the site's pedestrian entries only.	No
In developments with multiple buildings and/or entries, pedestrian entries and spaces associated with individual buildings/entries should be differentiated to improve legibility for residents, using a number of the following design solutions: • architectural detailing • changes in materials • plant species • colours	The detailing of the second building entry which is the main entry opposite the multi dwelling housing development is not sufficient to create a sense of entry and is diminished and obstructed by the wall of the driveway to the basement	No

	Opportunities for people to be concealed should be minimised	Achieved.	Yes
3C-2 Public Domain Interface	Amenity of the public domain is retained and enhanced <u>Design guidance</u> Planting softens the edges of any raised terraces to the street, for example above sub-basement car parking	Achieved.	Yes
	Mail boxes should be located in lobbies, perpendicular to the street alignment or integrated into front fences where individual street entries are provided	Mailbox are located in lobbies and perpendicular to the street frontage.	Yes
	The visual prominence of underground car park vents should be minimised and located at a low level where possible	Car parking vents are not visible according to the plans and will be located on the roof.	Yes
	Substations, pump rooms, garbage storage areas and other service requirements should be located in basement car parks or out of view	The substation is prominently located within view and not integrated into the building. The location of the substation opposite multi dwelling housing units will also require easements over the units which can be avoided.	No
		A services room is located on the ground	
		basement. Temporary waste collection area and temporary bulky waste storage also occupy a large portion of the ground floor and are	

		within view.	
	Ramping for accessibility should be minimised by building entry location and setting ground floor levels in relation to footpath levels	Achieved.	Yes
	Durable, graffiti resistant and easily cleanable materials should be used	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
	Where development adjoins public parks, open space or bushland, the design positively addresses this interface and uses a number of the following design solutions: • street access, pedestrian paths and building entries which are clearly defined • paths, low fences and planting that clearly delineate between communal/private open space and the adjoining public open space • minimal use of blank walls, fences and ground level parking	The development does not directly adjoin parks or open space but is located with views towards the Cabramatta Golf Club grounds. The development responds by maximising views of the golf course.	Yes
	On sloping sites protrusion of car parking above ground level should be minimised by using split levels to step underground car parking	The site has a slope however plans do not indicate any parking protrusion.	Yes
3D-1 Communal and Public Open Space	Design criteria 1. Communal open space has a minimum area equal to 25% of the site (see figure 3D.3)	25% is equal to 849.5m <sup>2</sup> based on site area 3,398m <sup>2</sup> . The applicant has proposed 1,095.29m <sup>2</sup> which is equal to 32.22%, exceeding the minimum requirement.	Yes
	2. Developments achieve a minimum of 50% direct sunlight to the principal usable part of the communal open space for a minimum of 2 hours between 9 am and 3 pm on 21 June (mid winter)	Achieved to the principal usable part which is positioned on the eastern side of the building.	Yes

	Design guidance Communal open space should be consolidated into a well designed, easily identified and usable area	The COS is not considered to be well designed, is not easily identified and provides limited usable area, minimal embellishments or facilities and no direct pedestrian linkage	No
	Communal open space should have a minimum dimension of 3m, and larger developments should consider greater dimensions	Achieved.	Yes
	Communal open space should be co-located with deep soil areas	Achieved.	Yes
	Direct, equitable access should be provided to communal open space areas from common circulation areas, entries and lobbies	Access has been provided but is not a direct pedestrian linkage to the facilities which are out of the main way.	No
	Where communal open space cannot be provided at ground level, it should be provided on a podium or roof	COS is able to be provided at ground level.	Yes
	<ul> <li>Where developments are unable to achieve the design criteria, such as on small lots, sites within business zones, or in a dense urban area, they should:</li> <li>provide communal spaces elsewhere such as a landscaped roof top terrace or a common room</li> <li>provide larger balconies or increased private open space for apartments</li> <li>demonstrate good proximity to public open space and facilities and/or provide contributions to public apart apartments</li> </ul>	The development is capable of meeting all the requirements.	Yes
3D-2	Communal open space is	The embellishment of	No
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Communal	designed to allow for a range of	the COS is not	
and Public	activities, respond to site	considered to be	
Open	conditions and be attractive and	adequate and does not	
Space	inviting	meet the design	
		excellence provisions	
	<u>Design guidance</u>	of Clause 6.12 Design	
		Excellence of the LEP.	
	Facilities are provided within		
	communal open spaces and	A pool was originally	
	common spaces for a range of	proposed to be located	
	age groups (see also 4F	In the eastern COS	
	Common circulation and spaces),	directly adjacent to the	
	following clomente:		
	• seating for individuals or groups	removed to avoid	
	barbecue areas	adverse impacts on	
	<ul> <li>play equipment or play areas</li> </ul>	the residents	
	• swimming pools gyms tennis	the residents.	
	courts or common rooms	Whilst the pool has	
	The location of facilities responds	been removed from	
	to microclimate and site	the amended plans,	
	conditions with access to sun in	the eastern setback	
	winter, shade in summer and	which is the only area	
	shelter from strong winds and	on-site that receives a	
	down drafts Visual impacts of	minimum 2 hours of	
	services should be minimised,	sunlight, has not been	
	including location of ventilation	embellished with a	
	duct outlets from basement car	range of facilities for	
	parks, electrical substations and	residents, and is	
	detention tanks	primarily landscaped.	
		The July 2024 plane	
		rne July 2024 plans	
		facility and three secto	
		for groups, one play	
		facility details of which	
		are not provided	
		Seating for individuals	
		and other features	
		such as seating	
		integrated into the	
		landscaping elements	
		along walkways and	
		through out the site	
		have not been	
		provided.	
		-	
		A vacant space has	
		been provided labelled	
		as a communal	
2D 2		room/gym but the fit out of the space to show facilities such as a communal kitchen are not provided. Equipment has not been provided in the space and there is uncertainty regarding its use. Additionally, the building will cause 100% overshadowing to the proposed COS labelled as gym and the areas consisting of bench seating. It is unclear how the space can be improved to ensure functionality in all seasons especially winter.	
---	--	--	-------------------
Communal and Public	designed to maximise safety		
Open Space	Design guidance		
opuoc	Communal open space and the public domain should be readily visible from habitable rooms and private open space areas while maintaining visual privacy. Design solutions may include: • bay windows • corner windows • balconies	Amended plans submitted in July 2024 address this matter and improve the relationship of the COS with adjacent dwellings.	Yes
	Communal open space should be well lit	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
	Where communal open space/facilities are provided for children and young people they are safe and contained	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
3D-4 Communal and Public Open Space	Public open space, where provided, is responsive to the existing pattern and uses of the neighbourhood <u>Design guidance</u>	Public space is not required to be provided by this development.	Not applicable
Space	<u>Design guidance</u> The public open space should be		

	<ul> <li>well connected with public streets along at least one edge</li> <li>The public open space should be connected with nearby parks and other landscape elements</li> <li>Public open space should be linked through view lines, pedestrian desire paths, termination points and the wider street grid</li> <li>Solar access should be provided year round along with protection from strong winds</li> </ul>		
	Opportunities for a range of recreational activities should be provided for people of all ages A positive address and active frontages should be provided adjacent to public open space Boundaries should be clearly defined between public open space and private areas		
3E-1 Deep Soil Zones	Deep soil zones provide areas on the site that allow for and support healthy plant and tree growth. They improve residential amenity and promote management of water and air quality         Design criteria         1. Deep soil zones are to meet the following minimum requirements:         Site area       Minimum Deep soil zone (% of site area)         less than 650m <sup>2</sup> -         650m <sup>2</sup> - 1,500m <sup>2</sup> 3m         greater than 1,500m <sup>2</sup> 6m         with significant existing tree cover       6m	7% of site area of 3,398m <sup>2</sup> requires 237.86m <sup>2</sup> to be deep soil zones. The plans show 740.45m <sup>2</sup> equal to 21.8% of the site with minimum 6m dimensions.	Yes
	<u>Design guidance</u> On some sites it may be possible		

	to provide larger deep soil zones, depending on the site area and context: • 10% of the site as deep soil on sites with an area of 650m2 - 1,500m2		
	<ul> <li>15% of the site as deep soil on sites greater than 1,500m2</li> </ul>	21.8% provided.	Yes
	Deep soil zones should be located to retain existing significant trees and to allow for the development of healthy root systems, providing anchorage and stability for mature trees. Design solutions may include: • basement and sub basement car park design that is consolidated beneath building footprints • use of increased front and side setbacks • adequate clearance around trees to ensure long term health • co-location with other deep soil areas on adjacent sites to create larger contiguous areas of deep soil	Whilst deep soil zones are appropriately located along the perimeters of the site making it possible to retain existing significant trees, the application proposes to remove existing trees along the permitter.	Yes
	Achieving the design criteria may not be possible on some sites including where: • the location and building typology have limited or no space for deep soil at ground level (e.g. central business district, constrained sites, high density areas, or in centres) • there is 100% site coverage or non-residential uses at ground floor level	Not relevant to this site or proposal as the site is capable of meeting and in fact exceeding the requirement.	Not applicable
	Where a proposal does not achieve deep soil requirements, acceptable stormwater management should be achieved and alternative forms of planting provided such as on structure	Not relevant to this site or proposal since the proposal meets and exceeds the requirement for deep soil zones.	Not applicable
3F-1 Visual	Adequate building separation distances are shared equitably		
1		I	l

Privacy       between neighbouring sites, to achieve reasonable levels of external and internal visual privacy       The development provides the following minimum setbacks and separation distances from buildings to the side and rear boundaries are as follows:       The development provides the following minimum setbacks and separation distances: <u>Building height</u> <u>Labelable</u> <u>Internation between windows and follows:                The development provides the following minimum setbacks and separation distances:                 <u>Building height</u> <u>Labelable</u> <u>Internation between windows at follows:               The development provides the following minimum setbacks and separation distances:                 <u>Building height</u> <u>Labelable</u> <u>Internation between windows at follows:               <u>Building height</u> <u>Internation between windows at four stores:             <u>Internation between windows at four stores:             <u>Transite Avenue with the DCP and ADG requirement for increased setbacks to a lower density zone except for setback to corner of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary; instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary consisting of 9m and does not comply.               <u>S-8 storeys</u>             At the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m at 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone.             Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.       </u></u></u></u></u></u>						
Design criteria         1. Separation between windows and balconies is provided to ensure visual privacy is achieved. Minimum required separation distances from buildings to the side and rear boundaries are as follows:       The development provides the following minimum setbacks and separation distances:         Image: transform buildings to the side and rear boundaries are as follows:       Eastern Boundary Setbacks to R2 Zone Up to 4 storeys minimum setback provided to eastern boundary for the first four storey. This cource 28m (9+ storeys)       No         Image: transform buildings to the side and rear boundaries are as follows:       The development provides the following minimum setbacks and separation distances:         Image: transform buildings to the side and rear boundaries are as follows:       The development provides the following minimum setbacks and separation distances:         Image: transform buildings to the side and rear boundaries are as follows:       The development provides the following minimum setbacks and separation distances:         Image: transform buildings to the side and rear boundaries are as follows:       The development provides the following minimum setback four storey. The and ADG requirement for increased setbacks to corner of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m form balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.       No	Privacy	between neighbou achieve reasonab external and inter privacy	uring sites le levels o nal visual	s, to of		
and balconies is provided to ensure visual privacy is achieved. Minimum required separation distances from buildings to the side and rear boundaries are as follows:       provides the following minimum setbacks and separation distances:         Image: transmission of the side of the side and rear boundaries are as follows:       The side of the side of the side up to 12m (4 storeys)       No         Image: transmission of the side o		Design criteria	ween wind	dows	The development	
ensure visual privacy is achieved. Minimum required separation distances from buildings to the side and rear boundaries are as follows: <u>Building height decome</u> <u>the totage of the access</u> <u>Building height decome</u> <u>the totage of the access</u> <u>the totage of totage of the access</u> <u>the totage of totage</u>		and balconies is r	provided to	0	provides the following	
Minimum required separation distances from buildings to the side and rear boundaries are as follows:       separation distances: <u>Building heath Boundaries are as</u> follows:         separation distances: <u>Building heath Boundaries are as</u> follows:         Separation distances: <u>Building heath Boundary Setbacks to R2 Zone</u> <u>Up to 4 storeys</u> <u>Building heath Boundary Setbacks to R2 Zone</u> <u>Up to 4 storeys</u> <u>Building heath Boundary Setbacks to R2 Zone</u> <u>Up to 4 storeys</u> <u>Building heath Boundary Setbacks to R2 Zone</u> <u>Up to 4 storeys</u> <u>Building heath Boundary Setbacks to R2 Zone</u> <u>Up to 4 storeys</u> <u>Building heath Boundary Setbacks to R2 Zone</u> <u>Up to 4 storeys</u> <u>Building heath Boundary Setbacks to R2 Zone</u> <u>Up to 4 storeys</u> <u>Building heath Boundary Setback to Coners of neighbouring</u> No 1 Setback to Corner of neighbouring No 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of <u>Bm and 6m</u> storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of <u>9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of   </u>		ensure visual priv	acv is act	- nieved.	minimum setbacks and	
distances from buildings to the side and rear boundaries are as follows:       Eastern Boundary       Eastern Boundary         iup to 12m (4 storeys)       for any       Setbacks to R2 Zone       Up to 4 storeys         yp to 12m (4 storeys)       6m       3m       Setbacks to R2 Zone       Up to 4 storeys         yp to 12m (4 storeys)       6m       3m       Setbacks to R2 Zone       Up to 4 storeys       Setbacks to R2 Zone         yp to 2m (4* storeys)       6m       3m       Setbacks to R2 Zone       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         yp to 2m (4* storeys)       6m       3m       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         yp to 12m (4 storeys)       6m       3m       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         yp to 2m (4* storeys)       12m       6m       Setback to Cone       Setback to Cone         over 25m (4* storeys)       12m       6m       Setback to Cone       Setback to Cone         No       No       Setback to the aste       Setback to the aste       Setback to the east       Setback to cone of       No		Minimum required	l separati	on	separation distances:	
side and rear boundaries are as follows:       Eastern Boundary       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         up to 12m (4 storys)       End accored       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         up to 12m (4 storys)       End accored       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         over 25m (9+ storys)       12m       End       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         over 25m (9+ storys)       12m       End       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         over 25m (9+ storys)       12m       End       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         over 25m (9+ storys)       12m       End       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         over 25m (9+ storys)       12m       End       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         over 25m (9+ storys)       12m       End       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         Setbacks to R2 Zone       Over 25m (9+ storys)       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         Setbacks to R2 Zone       Over 25m (9+ storys)       No       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         Setbacks to R2 Zone       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         Setbacks to R2 Zone       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No       Setbacks to R2 Zone       No         Setbacks to R2 Zone       Setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m		distances from bu	ildings to	the		
Setbacks to R2 Zone Up to 4 storeys       No         up to 12m (4 storeys)       Gm       Audiabate baccones       No         up to 25m (6-8 storeys)       9m       4.5m       boundary for the first four storeys. This complex with the DCP and ADG requirement for increased setbacks to a lower density zone except for setback to corner of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply.       No         5-8 storeys At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone.       No		side and rear bou	ndaries a	re as	Eastern Boundary	
Building height       Hadbabb balance       Non- rooms       Up to 4 storeys       Mon- sinuum setback         up to 12m (4 storeys)       6m       3m       provided to eastern boundary for the first four storeys. This comples with the DCP and ADG requirement for increased setbacks to a lower density zone except for setback to corner of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply.       No         5-8 storeys At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone.       No		follows:			Setbacks to R2 Zone	
up to 12m (4 storeys)       form       3m         up to 22m (5-8 storeys)       9m       4.5m         over 25m (0+ storeys)       12m       6m         and ADG requirement       for increased setbacks       to a lower density zone         except for setback to       corner of neighbouring       No. 1 Smiths Avenue         which is not       dimensioned but       appears to be 7.2m         form balcony corner       instead of 9m and is         7.7m from visible part       of balcony to the         boundary (instead of 9m and does not comply.       5-8 storeys         At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> storey, the ADG         requires a 12m       setback to the east         boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a       habitable space to a         lower density zone.       Plans amended in July         2024 show 9m setback       instead of 12m and         does not comply.       Setback to corner of		Building height	Habitable rooms and	Non- habitable	Up to 4 storeys	No
up to 12m (4 storeys)umupup to 25m (3-8 storeys)12m6mover 25m (3+ storeys)12m6mfor increased setbacks to a lower density zone except for setback to corner of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply.5-8 storeys Storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.		(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	balconies	rooms	9m minimum setback	
up to 20m (2-storeys)       ym       x,ym         over 25m (9+ storeys)       12m       6m         four storeys. This complex with the DCP and ADG requirement for increased setbacks to a lower density zone except for setback to corner of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply.       No         5-8 storeys At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.       No		up to 12m (4 storeys)	6m	3m	boundary for the first	
over 25m (s+ storeys)12momcomplies with the DCP and ADG requirement for increased setbacks to a lower density zone except for setback to corner of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply.No5-8 storeys At the 5th and 6th storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.No		up to 25m (5-8 storeys)	9m	4.5m	four storevs. This	
and ADG requirement for increased setbacks to a lower density zone except for setback to corner of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply.No5-8 storeys At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.No		over 25m (9+ storeys)	12m	om	complies with the DCP	
for increased setbacks to a lower density zone except for setback to corner of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply. 5-8 storeys At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.					and ADG requirement	
b a lower density zone except for setback to corner of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply.No5-8 storeys At the 5th and 6th storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.No					for increased setbacks	
Security of neighbouring No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply.     No       5-8 storeys At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.     No					to a lower density zone	
No. 1 Smiths Avenue which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply.No5-8 storeys At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.No					corner of neighbouring	
which is not dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply.No5-8 storeys At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.No					No. 1 Smiths Avenue	
dimensioned but appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply. 5-8 storeys At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> No storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					which is not	
appears to be 7.2m from balcony corner instead of 9m and is 7.7m from visible part of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply. 5-8 storeys At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> No storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.					dimensioned but	
Irom balcony cornerinstead of 9m and is7.7m from visible partof balcony to theboundary, instead of9m and does notcomply.5-8 storeysAt the 5th and 6thAt the 5th and 6thstorey, the ADGrequires a 12msetback to the eastboundary consisting of9m + 3m from ahabitable space to alower density zone.Plans amended in July2024 show 9m setbackinstead of 12m anddoes not comply.Setback to corner of					appears to be 7.2m	
7.7m from visible part         of balcony to the         boundary, instead of         9m and does not         comply.         5-8 storeys         At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> No         storey, the ADG         requires a 12m         setback to the east         boundary consisting of         9m + 3m from a         habitable space to a         lower density zone.         Plans amended in July         2024 show 9m setback         instead of 12m and         does not comply.					irom balcony corner	
of balcony to the boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply. <u>5-8 storevs</u> At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> No storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					7.7m from visible part	
boundary, instead of 9m and does not comply. <u>5-8 storeys</u> At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> No storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					of balcony to the	
9m and does not comply.5-8 storeys At the 5th and 6th storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					boundary, instead of	
comply.5-8 storeys At the 5th and 6th storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone.NoPlans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner ofNo					9m and does not	
5-8 storeys At the 5th and 6th storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner ofNo					comply.	
At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> No storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					5.8 storeve	
storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					5-6 Storeys At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup>	No
requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					storev, the ADG	
setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					requires a 12m	
boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					setback to the east	
9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					boundary consisting of	
nabitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					9m + 3m from a	
Plans amended in July 2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					nabilable space to a	
2024 show 9m setback instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					Plans amended in July	
instead of 12m and does not comply. Setback to corner of					2024 show 9m setback	
does not comply. Setback to corner of					instead of 12m and	
Setback to corner of					does not comply.	
					Setback to corner of	

	<ul> <li>1.8m sill windows a living room with no other outlook.</li> <li>Balcony opening replaced with wall resulting in poor outlook from balcony</li> </ul>	
	The variations are considered to be unacceptable on the basis that the proposed 6 storey building does not achieve an appropriate scale compared to the eastern low density dwellings.	
	<b>Note</b> : Separation criteria of the ADG is also not achieved due to neighbours lesser setback, however plans include privacy measures to mitigate any overlooking however it is considered that an increased building setback is essential to improve the transition from the 6 storey building to the east neighbour.	
	<u>Setbacks to Multi</u> <u>Dwelling Housing in</u> <u>R3 Zone</u>	

	<u>Up to 4 storeys</u> At the first four storeys, the ADG requires a 9m setback consisting of 3m + 3m + 3m between non-habitable rooms and the lower density zone; and a 9m setback consisting of 6m + 3m setback between habitable rooms and a lower density zone.	No
	Instead of 9m to Unit 19 over the R3 zone as required by Figure 2 of DCP and as required to the lower density zone, a 7.5m minimum setback is provided from the first 4 storeys to the multi dwelling housing over the R3 zone. This does not comply with the DCP nor the ADG.	
	5-6 storeys At the 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> storey, the ADG requires a 12m setback to the east boundary consisting of 9m + 3m from a habitable space to a lower density zone. Plans amended in July 2024 show 7.5m setback instead of 12m and does not comply.	No
	Plans are incorporated with the following measures: - 1.8m sill windows a living room with no other outlook. The variations are	

	considered to be unacceptable on the basis that the proposed 6 storey building does not achieve an appropriate scale compared to the lower density development proposed over the R3 zoned land	
Note: Separation distances between buildings on the same site should combine required building separations depending on the type of room (see figure 3F.2)	Separation distances are not achieved between windows of the eastern and western wing of the building. The following is noted: - ground floor no issues - 2nd, 3rd and 4th storey provides 3.4m instead of 6m; or 1.8m where 9m is required; or 6.8m where 12m is required and does not comply - 5th and 6 <sup>th</sup> storeys provides 4.4m instead of 9m; or 0m to 1.1m where 13.5m is required; and does not comply. The result is a poorly designed irregular core where outlooks are obstructed and the building is cramped with inadequate separation distances.	No
Gallery access circulation should be treated as habitable space when measuring privacy separation distances between neighbouring properties	Setbacks from gallery access: - 13m to east boundary and 12m to south boundary at first four storeys where 9m is required and complies	Yes

	- 13m to east boundary and 12m to south boundary at 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> storey where 12m is required and complies	
Design guidance Generally one step in the built form as the height increases due to building separations is desirable. Additional steps should be careful not to cause a 'ziggurat' appearance	The built form does not incorporate any steps.	No
For residential buildings next to commercial buildings, separation distances should be measured as follows: • for retail, office spaces and commercial balconies use the habitable room distances • for service and plant areas use the non-habitable room distances	Commercial buildings such as the service station and fast food premises, or the golf club are located on opposite sides of the roads and ample separation distance is achieved to any non- residential development.	Yes
New development should be located and oriented to maximise visual privacy between buildings on site and for neighbouring buildings. Design solutions include: • site layout and building orientation to minimise privacy impacts (see also section 3B Orientation) • on sloping sites, apartments on different levels have appropriate visual separation distances (see figure 3F.4)	Privacy for units within the same building that are facing one another has not been adequately addressed.	No
Apartment buildings should have an increased separation distance of 3m (in addition to the requirements set out in design criteria 1) when adjacent to a different zone that permits lower density residential development to provide for a transition in scale and increased landscaping (figure	The development does not achieve this criteria as already noted in the beginning of this section.	No

3F.5)		
Direct lines of sight should be avoided for windows and balconies across corners	Achieved.	Yes
balconies across corners No separation is required between blank walls	Whilst the ADG allows no separation between blank walls, the site context is not one that can support no separation or reduced separations between any proposed blank walls. The context is one of low scale, detached, single and double storey dwellings characterised by substantial setbacks and smaller building footprints that should be respected by the development. As such, the variation to setbacks are not supported. The architectural plans seek variations to the setback controls to the east boundary and the south boundary, by treating the eastern and southern elevations of the proposed building as a 'blank wall' (such as by way of solid walls or 1.8 window sills that do not enable outlooks other than of the sky). Whilst these measures address the potential impacts of visual and	No
	acoustic privacy, the	
	address the scale of	
	the development	
	compared to the	

		surrounding neighbours; and diminishes the design quality of the external elevations; and diminishes the internal amenity for future occupants by preventing any views over the eastern and southern. It is considered that the proposed building	
		in order to preserve the lower scale of adjoining properties and adjoining lower density zones	
3F-2 Visual Privacy	Site and building design elements increase privacy without compromising access to light and air and balance outlook and views from habitable rooms and private open space Design guidance Communal open space, common areas and access paths should be separated from private open space and windows to apartments, particularly habitable room windows. Design solutions may include: • setbacks • solid or partially solid balustrades to balconies at lower levels • fencing and/or trees and vegetation to separate spaces • screening devices • bay windows or pop out windows to provide privacy in one direction and outlook in another • raising apartments/private open space above the public domain or communal open space • planter boxes incorporated into walls and balustrades to increase visual separation	Outlook and views from habitable rooms and private open spaces has been compromised at the southern and eastern elevations which is not appropriate for a site that is not otherwise constrained. This is a result of the building designed to not-comply with setback distances of the ADG and the consequent inclusion of privacy screening to windows such as 1.8m high sills where only an outlook to the sky is possible, and south facing units having no view of the proposed multi dwelling housing development. This in turn has diminished the quality of the external appearance of the southern elevation as viewed from Orange Grove Road.	No

	<ul> <li>pergolas or shading devices to limit overlooking of lower apartments or private open space</li> <li>on constrained sites where it can be demonstrated that building layout opportunities are limited, fixed louvres or screen panels to windows and/or balconies</li> </ul>	The same applies to the eastern elevation which is visible from Cabramatta Road West.	
	other habitable rooms should be separated from gallery access and other open circulation space by the apartment's service areas		
	Balconies and private terraces should be located in front of living rooms to increase internal privacy		
	Windows should be offset from the windows of adjacent buildings		
	Recessed balconies and/or vertical fins should be used between adjacent balconies		
3G-1 Pedestrian Access and	Building entries and pedestrian access connects to and addresses the public domain	A major concern is that there are no pathways proposed within the private circulation road	No
Entries	<u>Design guidance</u> Multiple entries (including communal building entries and individual ground floor entries) should be provided to activate the street edge	which is intended to be shared with two-way traffic, pedestrians, cyclists and service vehicles. This is not considered to be an	
	Entry locations relate to the street and subdivision pattern and the existing pedestrian network	Appropriate design response is achieved to the classified roads	
	Building entries should be clearly identifiable and communal entries should be clearly distinguishable from private entries	however the response to the private domain internal to the site is problematic.	
	Where street frontage is limited and multiple buildings are located on the site, a primary street address should be provided with	The main building entry and pedestrian access is provided from the south to the	

		1	
	clear sight lines and pathways to secondary building entries	rear of the building, however the building does not appropriately address the southern public domain. The site layout and the design does not provide a sense of entry or belonging with much of the ground floor and pedestrian access occupied by services, waste, open gym with no clear identity or purpose. Amended plans have now further diminished the quality of the ground/street level by replacing solid wall of the expansive waste collection room with a 1.4m screen that is visible from the	
3G-2	Access, entries and pathways are	circulation road.	
Pedestrian Access and Entries	accessible and easy to identify <u>Design guidance</u> Building access areas including lift lobbies, stairwells and hallways should be clearly visible from the public domain and communal spaces	Rear access to the building is not easy to identify and is obstructed by the wall of the basement into the driveway which is also not integrated into the building design.	No
	The design of ground floors and underground car parks minimise level changes along pathways and entries	Ramping is minimised.	Yes
	Steps and ramps should be integrated into the overall building and landscape design	Achieved.	Yes
	For large developments 'way	Capable of being	Yes

	finding' mans should be provided	achieved	
	to assist visitors and residents	achieved.	
	(see figure 4T 3)		
	For large developments	Capable of being	Yes
	electronic access and audio/video	achieved.	
	intercom should be provided to		
	manage access		
3G-3	Large sites provide pedestrian	There are no pathways	No
Pedestrian	links for access to streets and	proposed within the	
Access	connection to destinations	private circulation road	
and		and no pedestrian	
Entries	<u>Design guidance</u>	access to the site from	
	Pedestrian links through sites	Links Avenue which is	
	facilitate direct connections to	the main entry and	
	open space, main streets, centres	exit. It is concerning	
	and public transport	that the circulation	
		road is intended to be	
	Pedestrian links should be direct,	shared with two-way	
	have clear sight lines, be	traffic, pedestrians,	
	overlooked by habitable rooms or	cyclists and service	
	private open spaces of dwellings,	vehicles. This is not	
	be well lit and contain active	considered to be an	
	uses, where appropriate	acceptable solution.	
3H-1	Vehicle access points are		
Venicie	designed and located to achieve		
Access	between nedestrians and		
	vehicles and create high quality		
	streetscanes		
	Sileetseapes		
	Design guidance		
	Car park access should be	Car park access is not	No
	integrated with the building's	integrated with the	
	overall facade. Design solutions	building's overall	
	may include:	facade.	
	<ul> <li>the materials and colour palette</li> </ul>		
	to minimise visibility from the		
	street		
	<ul> <li>security doors or gates at</li> </ul>		
	entries that minimise voids in the		
	tacade		
	• where doors are not provided,		
	the visible interior reflects the		
	racade design and the building		
	services, pipes and ducts are		
	concealed		
	Car park entries should be	Not achieved	No
	Located behind the building line	INUL AUTHEVEU.	
	I located berinne the building life		

Vehicle entries should be located at the lowest point of the site minimising ramp lengths, excavation and impacts on the building form and layout	Acceptable in terms of site levels and length.	Yes
Car park entry and access should be located on secondary streets or lanes where available	Located off a private road which is located on a secondary street being Links Avenue.	Yes
Vehicle standing areas that increase driveway width and encroach into setbacks should be avoided	No standing areas are proposed.	Yes
Access point locations should avoid headlight glare to habitable rooms	Not achieved. The finished levels of the western circulation road are on par with the window levels of southern residences who will be impacted by headlight glare. A 2.4m fence is proposed however does not comply with Council's controls, is uncharacteristic in a residential area and has not been demonstrated to be a sufficient measure.	No
Adequate separation distances should be provided between vehicle entries and street intersections	Achieved.	Yes
The width and number of vehicle access points should be limited to the minimum	1 access point provided into the basement.	Yes
Visual impact of long driveways should be minimised through changing alignments and screen planting	Achieved.	Yes
The need for large vehicles to	Servicing of the site	Yes

	1 1 1 1 1		
	enter or turn around within the site should be avoided	will be required to be undertaken on-site from the at-grade loading bay, via Heavy Rigid Vehicles such as for weekly waste collections. No truck access is required or provided within the basement.	
	Garbage collection, loading and servicing areas are screened	Waste collection areas at ground level are no longer appropriately screened as a result of amended plans submitted in July 2024 which replace solid wall with a 1.4m screen which is insufficient and results in a range of adverse impacts.	No
	Clear sight lines should be provided at pedestrian and vehicle crossings	Council's Traffic Engineer has raised concern with the sight lines.	No
	Traffic calming devices such as changes in paving material or textures should be used where appropriate	None identified. No textures used to identify a pedestrian/cycleway.	No
	Pedestrian and vehicle access should be separated and distinguishable. Design solutions may include: • changes in surface materials • level changes • the use of landscaping for separation	Separate pedestrian access has not been provided.	No
3J-1 Bicycle and Car Parking	<ol> <li>For development in the following locations:</li> <li>on sites that are within 800 metres of a railway station or light rail stop in the Sydney Metropolitan Area; or</li> <li>on land zoned, and sites within 400 metres of land zoned, B3</li> </ol>	Council's DCP rate as specified in Chapter 12 of Fairfield CityWide DCP 2013 requires: 1 space per dwelling plus 1 visitor space per 4 dwellings	Yes

	Commercial Core, B4 Mixed Use or equivalent in a nominated regional centre the minimum car parking requirement for residents and visitors is set out in the Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, or the car parking requirement prescribed by the relevant council, whichever is	85 units generates a requirement for: - 85 residential parking spaces, and - 21.25 visitor spaces Total 106.25 spaces required rounded up to 107 spaces	
		The July 2024 amended plans provide: - 85 x residential spaces, including 9 adaptable spaces (complies), and - 22 x visitor spaces including 2 accessible spaces (complies)	
	The car parking needs for a development must be provided off street	All parking is within the basement.	Yes
	<u>Design guidance</u> Where a car share scheme operates locally, provide car share parking spaces within the development. Car share spaces, when provided, should be on site	No car share spaces are proposed.	Not applicable
	Where less car parking is provided in a development, council should not provide on street resident parking permits	Parking complies; and Council does not issue parking permits.	Yes
3J-2 Bicycle and Car	Parking and facilities are provided for other modes of Transport		
Parking	Design guidance Conveniently located and sufficient numbers of parking spaces should be provided for motorbikes and scooters	No motorbike parking spaces are proposed but there is sufficient space in the basement to achieve this with amended plans.	No
	Secure undercover bicycle parking should be provided that is easily accessible from both the	A total of 63 bicycle parking spaces will be provided as follows:	Yes

	public domain and common areas	<ul> <li>- 30 residential bicycle parking spaces in</li> <li>Basement 01</li> <li>- 24 residential bicycle parking spaces in</li> <li>Basement 02</li> <li>- 9 visitor bicycle parking spaces at</li> <li>ground level</li> </ul>	
	Conveniently located charging stations are provided for electric vehicles, where desirable	Electric car charging bays are designated as follows: - 3 of the visitor spaces in Basement 01 are car charging bays - Residential car charging bays proposed to be installed as needed.	Yes
3J-3 Bicycle and Car Parking	Car park design and access is safe and secure <u>Design quidance</u> Supporting facilities within car parks, including garbage, plant and switch rooms, storage areas and car wash bays can be accessed without crossing car parking spaces Direct, clearly visible and well lit access should be provided into common circulation areas A clearly defined and visible lobby or waiting area should be provided to lifts and stairs For larger car parks, safe pedestrian access should be clearly defined and circulation areas have good lighting, colour, line marking and/or bollards	The proposal is capable of achieving these requirements.	Yes

3J-4 Bicycle and Car Parking	Visual and environmental impacts of underground car parking are minimised		
	Excavation should be minimised through efficient car park layouts and ramp design	Achieved.	Yes
	Car parking layout should be well organised, using a logical, efficient structural grid and double loaded aisles	Achieved, although aisles are not double loaded.	Yes
	Protrusion of car parks should not exceed 1m above ground level. Design solutions may include stepping car park levels or using split levels on sloping sites	The basement does not protrude above the ground level according to the architectural plans.	Yes
	Natural ventilation should be provided to basement and sub basement car parking areas	Capable of being achieved and must comply with the BCA.	Yes
	Ventilation grills or screening devices for car parking openings should be integrated into the facade and landscape design	Achieved.	Yes
3J-5 Bicycle and Car Parking	Visual and environmental impacts of on-grade car parking are minimised	No on-grade car parking is proposed for the residential flat building.	Yes
	<u>Design guidance</u> On-grade car parking should be avoided		
	<ul> <li>Where on-grade car parking is unavoidable, the following design solutions are used:</li> <li>parking is located on the side or rear of the lot away from the primary street frontage</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>cars are screened from view of streets, buildings, communal and private open space areas</li> <li>safe and direct access to</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>building entry points is provided</li> <li>parking is incorporated into the landscape design of the site, by extending planting and materials</li> </ul>		

	<ul> <li>into the car park space</li> <li>stormwater run-off is managed appropriately from car parking surfaces</li> <li>bio-swales, rain gardens or on site detention tanks are provided, where appropriate</li> <li>light coloured paving materials or permeable paving systems are used and shade trees are planted between every 4-5 parking spaces to reduce increased surface temperatures from large areas of paving</li> </ul>		
3J-6 Bicycle and Car Parking	Visual and environmental impacts of above ground enclosed car parking are minimised Design guidance Exposed parking should not be located along primary street Frontages Screening, landscaping and other design elements including public art should be used to integrate the above ground car parking with the facade. Design solutions may include: • car parking that is concealed behind the facade, with windows integrated into the overall facade design (approach should be limited to developments where a larger floor plate podium is suitable at lower levels) • car parking that is 'wrapped' with other uses, such as retail, commercial or two storey Small Office/Home Office (SOHO) units along the street frontage (see figure 3J.9) Positive street address and active frontages should be provided at ground level	No aboveground enclosed parking is proposed for the residential flat building and all parking is within the basement.	Not applicable
Part 4 Desig	gning the Building		1
Amenity			
4A-1 Solar and	To optimise the number of apartments receiving sunlight to		

			1
Daylight Access	habitable rooms, primary windows and private open space		
	<ul> <li><u>Design criteria</u></li> <li>1. Living rooms and private open spaces of at least 70% of apartments in a building receive a minimum of 2 hours direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid winter in the Sydney Metropolitan Area and in the Newcastle and Wollongong local government areas</li> </ul>	64 out of 85 units achieve the minimum solar access equal to 75.30%	Yes
	2. In all other areas, living rooms and private open spaces of at least 70% of apartments in a building receive a minimum of 3 hours direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid winter	Not relevant	Not applicable
	3. A maximum of 15% of apartments in a building receive no direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid winter	There are 12 out of 85 units that would get no sunlight, equal to 14.11%.	Yes
	Design guidance The design maximises north aspect and the number of single aspect south facing apartments is minimised	Achieved.	Yes
	Single aspect, single storey apartments should have a northerly or easterly aspect	Achieved where appropriate.	Yes
	Living areas are best located to the north and service areas to the south and west of apartments	Achieved where appropriate.	Yes
	To optimise the direct sunlight to habitable rooms and balconies a number of the following design features are used: • dual aspect apartments • shallow apartment layouts • two storey and mezzanine level	Dual aspect and shallow apartments utilised.	Yes

	apartments • bay windows		
	To maximise the benefit to residents of direct sunlight within living rooms and private open spaces, a minimum of 1m2 of direct sunlight, measured at 1m above floor level, is achieved for at least 15 minutes	Capable of complying.	Yes
	Achieving the design criteria may not be possible on some sites. This includes: • where greater residential amenity can be achieved along a busy road or rail line by orientating the living rooms away from the noise source • on south facing sloping sites • where significant views are oriented away from the desired aspect for direct sunlight	Greater amenity could have been achieved by orienting the living areas away from the noisy classified roads however the proposed design ensures that solar access is achieved to 75% of units. A total of 27 out of 85 units have been oriented to the south and away from the noise source. Whilst these units will generally receive none or less than 2 hours solar access in mid- winter, these units will be better positioned away from the noise source.	Yes
	Design drawings need to demonstrate how site constraints and orientation preclude meeting the design criteria and how the development meets the objective	The objective is met.	Yes
4A-2 Solar and Daylight	Daylight access is maximised where sunlight is limited		
ACCESS	Design guidance Courtyards, skylights and high level windows (with sills of 1,500mm or greater) are used only as a secondary light source in habitable rooms	The following units do not comply with this requirement, having a 1.8m high window sill for their principle light source:	No

		<ul> <li>B2 in Unit A205</li> <li>B2 in Unit A206</li> <li>B2 in Unit A208</li> <li>B2 in Unit A305</li> <li>B2 in Unit A306</li> <li>B2 in Unit A308</li> <li>B2 in Unit A405</li> <li>B2 in Unit A406</li> <li>B2 in Unit A408</li> <li>B1 in Unit A505</li> <li>B1 in Unit B506</li> <li>B1 in Unit B508</li> <li>B2 in Unit A605</li> <li>B1 in Unit B606</li> <li>B1 in Unit B608</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Where courtyards are used:</li> <li>use is restricted to kitchens, bathrooms and service areas</li> <li>building services are concealed with appropriate detailing and materials to visible walls</li> <li>courtyards are fully open to the sky</li> <li>access is provided to the light well from a communal area for cleaning and maintenance</li> <li>acoustic privacy, fire safety and minimum privacy separation distances (see section 3F Visual privacy) are achieved</li> </ul>	Courtyards have been used as sources of sunlight for habitable rooms and do not comply with this control. The courtyards also do not comply with the separation distance requirements.	No
	Opportunities for reflected light into apartments are optimised through: • reflective exterior surfaces on buildings opposite south facing windows • positioning windows to face other buildings or surfaces (on neighbouring sites or within the site) that will reflect light • integrating light shelves into the design • light coloured internal finishes	This is capable of being achieved.	Yes
4A-3	Design incorporates shading and	Amended design	Yes
Solar and	glare control, particularly for	adequately	
Daylight	warmer months	Incorporates shading	
Access		screens where	

	A number of the following design features are used: • balconies or sun shading that extend far enough to shade summer sun, but allow winter sun to penetrate living areas • shading devices such as eaves, awnings, balconies, pergolas, external louvres and planting • horizontal shading to north facing windows • vertical shading to east and particularly west facing windows • operable shading to allow adjustment and choice • high performance glass that minimises external glare off windows, with consideration given to reduced tint glass or glass with a reflectance level below 20% (reflective films are	necessary.	
4B-1 Natural Ventilation	All habitable rooms are naturally ventilated <u>Design guidance</u> The building's orientation maximises capture and use of prevailing breezes for natural ventilation in habitable rooms Depths of habitable rooms support natural ventilation The area of unobstructed window openings should be equal to at least 5% of the floor area served Light wells are not the primary air source for habitable rooms Doors and openable windows maximise natural ventilation opportunities by using the following design solutions: • adjustable windows with large effective openable areas • a variety of window types that provide safety and flexibility such as awnings and louvres	The development is capable of achieving these requirements.	Yes

	• windows which the accurants		
	• windows which the occupants can reconfigure to funnel breezes into the apartment such as vertical louvres, casement windows and externally opening doors		
4B-2 Natural Ventilation	The layout and design of single aspect apartments maximises natural ventilation <u>Design quidance</u> Apartment depths are limited to maximise ventilation and airflow (see also figure 4D.3) Natural ventilation to single aspect apartments is achieved with the following design solutions: • primary windows are augmented with plenums and light wells (generally not suitable for cross ventilation) • stack effect ventilation / solar chimneys or similar to naturally ventilate internal building areas or rooms such as bathrooms and laundries • courtyards or building indentations have a width to depth ratio of 2:1 or 3:1 to ensure effective air circulation and avoid trapped smells	The development is capable of achieving these requirements.	Yes
4B-3 Natural Ventilation	The number of apartments with natural cross ventilation is maximised to create a comfortable indoor environment for residents		
	Design criteria 1. At least 60% of apartments are naturally cross ventilated in the first nine storeys of the building.	51 out of 85 units are naturally cross- ventilated equal to 60%	Yes
	Apartments at ten storeys or greater are deemed to be cross ventilated only if any enclosure of the balconies at these levels allows adequate natural	Not relevant as proposal is up to 6 storeys.	Not applicable

	ventilation and cannot be fully enclosed		
	2. Overall depth of a cross-over or cross-through apartment does not exceed 18m, measured glass line to glass line	Amended plans submitted in July 2023 have amended the depth of two central units at typical levels 2, 3 4 also 2 units on level 5 and 2 units at level 6 to reduce the depth to comply with the maximum 18m.	Yes
	<u>Design guidance</u> The building should include dual aspect apartments, cross through apartments and corner apartments and limit apartment depths	Achieved.	Yes
	In cross-through apartments external window and door opening sizes/areas on one side of an apartment (inlet side) are approximately equal to the external window and door opening sizes/areas on the other side of the apartment (outlet side) (see figure 4B.4)	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
	Apartments are designed to minimise the number of corners, doors and rooms that might obstruct airflow	Achieved.	Yes
	Apartment depths, combined with appropriate ceiling heights, maximise cross ventilation and airflow	Achieved.	Yes
4C-1 Ceiling Heights	Ceiling height achieves sufficient natural ventilation and daylight access		
	<ol> <li>Design criteria</li> <li>1. Measured from finished floor level to finished ceiling level, minimum ceiling heights are:</li> </ol>	2.7m minimum.	Yes
			I

	Minimum ceiling height for apartment and mixed use buildings			
	Habitable rooms	2.7m		
	Non-habitable	2.4m		
	For 2 storey apartments	2.7m for main living area floor 2.4m for second floor, where its area does not exceed 50% of the apartment area		
	Attic spaces	1.8m at edge of room with a 30 degree minimum ceiling slope		
	If located in mixed used areas	3.3m for ground and first floor to promote future flexibility of use		
	These minim higher ceiling <u>Design guida</u> Ceiling heigh use of ceiling heat distribut	nums do not preclude gs if desired ance nt can accommodate g fans for cooling and tion	Capable of being achieved	Yes
4C-2 Ceiling Heights	Ceiling heigh sense of spa provides for rooms	nt increases the lice in apartments and well proportioned	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
	Design guida A number of solutions car • the hierarch apartment is changes in c alternatives s curved ceilin spaces • well propor provided, for rooms feel la spacious with • ceiling heig habitable roo bulkheads do stacking of s floor to floor bulkhead loo habitable are storage, can	ance the following design the following design be used: by of rooms in an defined using weiling heights and such as raked or gs, or double height tioned rooms are example, smaller arger and more h higher ceilings hts are maximised in oms by ensuring that o not intrude. The ervice rooms from and coordination of sation above non- eas, such as robes or assist		
4C-3 Ceiling Heights	Ceiling heigh flexibility of b life of the bui	nts contribute to the building use over the ilding	Site is not in a neighbourhood centre and does not require flexibility for	Not applicable
	Ceiling heigh	ance Its of lower level	residential uses.	
I				1

	apartments in centres should be greater than the minimum required by the design criteria allowing flexibility and conversion to non-residential uses (see figure 4C.1)	e en	
4D-1 Apartment Size and Layout	The layout of rooms within an apartment is functional, well organised and provides a high standard of amenity		
	Design criteria 1. Apartments are required to have the following minimum internal areas:	All units meet the minimum internal areas.	Yes
	Apartment type         Minimum internal area           Studio         35m²           1 bedroom         50m²           2 bedroom         70m²           3 bedroom         90m²		
	The minimum internal areas include only one bathroom. Additional bathrooms increase the minimum internal area by 5m2 each	Units with a second bathroom are provided with an additional 5m <sup>2</sup> of area.	Yes
	A fourth bedroom and further additional bedrooms increase th minimum internal area by 12m2 each	No 4 bedroom units.	Not applicable
	2. Every habitable room must have a window in an external w with a total minimum glass area of not less than 10% of the floor area of the room. Daylight and a may not be borrowed from othe rooms	all Capable of being achieved air	Yes
	<u>Design guidance</u> Kitchens should not be located part of the main circulation space in larger apartments (such as hallway or entry space)	Achieved.	Yes
	A window should be visible from any point in a habitable room	Achieved.	Yes

	Where minimum areas or room		
	dimensions are not met apartments need to demonstrate	Minimum areas and room dimensions are	Yes
	that they are well designed and	met.	
	demonstrate the usability and		
	realistically scaled furniture		
	lavouts and circulation areas.		
	These circumstances would be		
	assessed on their merits		
4D-2 Apartment Size and	Environmental performance of the apartment is maximised		
Lavout	Design criteria		
	1. Habitable room depths are	Achieved.	Yes
	limited to a maximum of 2.5 x		
	the ceiling height		
	2. In open plan layouts (where	Achieved.	Yes
	the living, dining and kitchen		
	are combined) the maximum		
	habitable room depth is 8m		
	<u>Design guidance</u>		
	Greater than minimum ceiling	Not proposed.	Not
	heights can allow for proportional		applicable
	permitted maximum depth up to the		
	All living areas and bedrooms	Achieved.	Yes
	should be located on the external		
4D-3	Apartment layouts are designed		
Apartment	to accommodate a variety of		
Size and	household activities and needs		
Layout			
	<u>Design criteria</u>	Achieved	Vee
	minimum area of 10m2 and other	Achieveu.	Tes
	bedrooms 9m2 (excluding		
	wardrobe space)		
	2 Bedrooms have a minimum	Achieved	Ves
	dimension of 3m (excluding		
	wardrobe space)		
	2 Living rooms or combined	Appiouse	Vee
	iving rooms or combined		res
	minimum width of:		

<ul> <li>3.6m for studio and 1 bedroom apartments</li> <li>4m for 2 and 3 bedroom</li> </ul>		
apartments		
4. The width of cross-over or cross-through apartments are at least 4m internally to avoid deep narrow apartment layouts	Achieved.	Yes
Design guidance Access to bedrooms, bathrooms and laundries is separated from living areas minimising direct openings between living and service areas	Achieved.	Yes
All bedrooms allow a minimum length of 1.5m for robes	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
The main bedroom of an apartment or a studio apartment should be provided with a wardrobe of a minimum 1.8m long, 0.6m deep and 2.1m high	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
Apartment layouts allow flexibility over time, design solutions may include: • dimensions that facilitate a variety of furniture arrangements and removal • spaces for a range of activities and privacy levels between different spaces within the apartment • dual master apartments • dual key apartments Note: dual key apartments which are separate but on the same title are regarded as two sole occupancy units for the purposes of the Building Code of Australia and for calculating the mix of apartments • room sizes and proportions or open plans (rectangular spaces (2:3) are more easily furnished than square spaces (1:1)) • efficient planning of circulation	Appropriate floor layouts proposed.	Yes

	by stairs, corridors and through rooms to maximise the amount of usable floor space in rooms				
4E-1Apartments provide appropriately sized private open space and balconies to enhance residential amenityBalconiesDesign criteria 1. All apartments are required to		opriately and idential uired to	The proposal provides	Yes	
	follows:	Minimum	Minimum	with the minimum	
	type	area	Minimum depth	depths.	
	Studio apartments	4m <sup>2</sup>	-		
	1 bedroom apartments	8m <sup>2</sup>	2m		
	2 bedroom apartments	10m <sup>2</sup>	2m		
	3+ bedroom apartments	12m <sup>2</sup>	2.4m		
The minimum balcony depth to be counted as contributing to the balcony area is 1m 2. For apartments at ground level or on a podium or similar structure, a private open space is provided instead of a balcony. It must have a minimum area of 15m2 and a minimum depth of 3m		Achieved.	Yes		
	Design guidance Increased communal open space should be provided where the number or size of balconies are reduced			More than the minimum required COS is provided.	Yes
	Storage areas on balconies is additional to the minimum balcony size		Achieved.	Yes	
	<ul> <li>Balcony use may be limited in some proposals by:</li> <li>consistently high wind speeds at 10 storeys and above</li> <li>close proximity to road, rail or other noise sources</li> <li>exposure to significant levels of aircraft noise</li> <li>heritage and adaptive reuse of</li> </ul>		Balcony use will be limited for units facing the classified roads. Wintergardens would have been appropriate however the proposal is at its maximum FSR limit and the current design cannot support	No	

	existing buildings In these situations, juliet balconies, operable walls, enclosed wintergardens or bay windows may be appropriate, and other amenity benefits for occupants should also be provided in the apartments or in the development or both. Natural ventilation also needs to be demonstrated	the increased GFA.	
4E-2 Private Open Space and Balconies	Primary private open space and balconies are appropriately located to enhance liveability for residents <u>Design quidance</u> Primary open space and balconies should be located adjacent to the living room, dining room or kitchen to extend the living space Private open spaces and balconies predominantly face north, east or west Primary open space and balconies should be orientated with the longer side facing outwards or be open to the sky to optimise daylight access into adjacent rooms	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
4E-3 Private Open Space and Balconies	Private open space and balcony design is integrated into and contributes to the overall architectural form and detail of the Building <u>Design guidance</u> Solid, partially solid or transparent fences and balustrades are selected to respond to the location. They are designed to allow views and passive surveillance of the street while maintaining visual privacy and allowing for a range of uses on the balcony. Solid and partially solid balustrades are preferred	Design of balcony balustrades is appropriate, varied and aesthetic.	Yes

	Full width full height glass balustrades alone are generally not desirable	All balconies are integrated into the design of the building.	Yes
	Projecting balconies should be integrated into the building design and the design of soffits considered	Achieved.	Yes
	Operable screens, shutters, hoods and pergolas are used to control sunlight and wind	Achieved.	Yes
	Balustrades are set back from the building or balcony edge where overlooking or safety is an issue	Low balustrades proposed at communal corridors is not considered a safe outcome.	No
	Downpipes and balcony drainage are integrated with the overall facade and building design	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
	Air-conditioning units should be located on roofs, in basements, or fully integrated into the building design	Air-conditioning units will be located on the roof or integrated in the balconies.	Yes
	Where clothes drying, storage or air conditioning units are located on balconies, they should be screened and integrated in the building design	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
	Ceilings of apartments below terraces should be insulated to avoid heat loss	No terraces proposed on top of apartments.	Yes
	Water and gas outlets should be provided for primary balconies and private open space	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
4E-4 Private Open	Private open space and balcony design maximises safety		
Space and Balconies	<u>Design guidance</u> Changes in ground levels or landscaping are minimised	Achieved.	Yes
	Design and detailing of balconies	Achieved.	Yes

	avoids opportunities for climbing and falls		
4F-1 Common Circulation and Spaces	Common circulation spaces achieve good amenity and properly service the number of apartments <u>Design criteria</u> 1. The maximum number of apartments off a circulation core on a single level is eight	10 at ground level however they share two lifts and are acceptable despite exceedance.	Yes
		Maximum 8 units at upper levels, complies	
	2. For buildings of 10 storeys and over, the maximum number of apartments sharing a single lift is 40	Maximum 6 storeys proposed.	Not applicable
	Design guidance Greater than minimum requirements for corridor widths and/or ceiling heights allow comfortable movement and access particularly in entry lobbies, outside lifts and at apartment entry doors	Achieved at the ground level where the exceedance occurs.	Yes
	Daylight and natural ventilation should be provided to all common circulation spaces that are above ground	Achieved.	Yes
	Windows should be provided in common circulation spaces and should be adjacent to the stair or lift core or at the ends of corridors	Achieved.	Yes
	Longer corridors greater than 12m in length from the lift core should be articulated. Design solutions may include: • a series of foyer areas with windows and spaces for seating • wider areas at apartment entry doors and varied ceiling heights	24m long corridors proposed but are articulated appropriately.	Yes

	Design common circulation	Dual aspect	Yes
	spaces to maximise opportunities	apartments have been	
	including multiple core apartment	the current circulation	
	buildings and cross over	design.	
	apartments		
	Achieving the design criteria for the number of apartments off a circulation core may not be possible. Where a development is unable to achieve the design criteria, a high level of amenity for common lobbies, corridors and apartments should be demonstrated, including: • sunlight and natural cross ventilation in apartments • access to ample daylight and natural ventilation in common circulation spaces • common areas for seating and gathering • generous corridors with greater than minimum ceiling heights • other innovative design solutions that provide high levels	The development achieves the design criteria therefore these guidelines are not relevant.	Yes
	Where design criteria 1 is not achieved, no more than 12 apartments should be provided off a circulation core on a single level	Design criteria 1 is achieved and therefore this guideline is not relevant.	Yes
	Primary living room or bedroom windows should not open directly onto common circulation spaces, whether open or enclosed. Visual and acoustic privacy from common circulation spaces to any other rooms should be carefully controlled	Achieved.	Yes
4F-2	Common circulation spaces		
Common Circulation	promote safety and provide for		
and	residents		
Spaces			
	Design guidance Direct and legible access should	Achieved.	Yes

	be provided between vertical circulation points and apartment entries by minimising corridor or gallery length to give short, straight, clear sight lines		
	Tight corners and spaces are avoided	Achieved.	Yes
	Circulation spaces should be well lit at night	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
	Legible signage should be provided for apartment numbers, common areas and general wayfinding	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
	Incidental spaces, for example space for seating in a corridor, at a stair landing, or near a window are provided	No space for seating is provided in corridors.	No
	In larger developments, community rooms for activities such as owners corporation meetings or resident use should be provided and are ideally co- located with communal open space	85 units proposed within the RFB and 53 in the multi dwelling housing development. This is a large development yet no community room for activities has been provided.	No
	Where external galleries are provided, they are more open than closed above the balustrade along their length	Annotations on plans indicate that any external galleries will have a balustrade with a maximum height of 1.4m.	Yes
4G-1 Storage	Adequate, well designed storage is provided in each apartment		
	<u>Design criteria</u> 1. In addition to storage in kitchens, bathrooms and bedrooms, the following storage is provided:	Achieved.	Yes

	Dwelling type	Storage size volume		
	Studio apartments	4m³		
	1 bedroom apartments	6m³	All units are provided	Vee
	2 bedroom apartments	8m³	with storage in the	res
	3+ bedroom apartments	10m <sup>3</sup>	apartments and 75 out	
			of 85 units are	
	At least 50% of the required storage is to be located within the apartment		provided with storage in the basements.	
			Achieved.	Yes
	Design guidance Storage is acces circulation or livir Storage provideo	sible from either ng areas I on balconies (in	Storage on balconies is in addition to the minimum area of balconies.	Yes
	addition to the minimum balcony size) is integrated into the balcony design, weather proof and screened from view from the street		None of the units contain staircases.	Not applicable
	Left over space s stairs is used for	such as under storage		
4G-2 Storage	Additional storag located, accessib nominated for ind apartments	e is conveniently ble and dividual	Storage is provided in apartments however additional space for 75 out of the 85 units is proposed in the basements.	Yes
	Design guidance Storage not located in apartments is secure and clearly allocated to specific apartments		Achieved	Yes
	Storage is provided for larger and less frequently accessed items		Achieved.	Yes
	Storage space in internal or basement car parks is provided at the rear or side of car spaces or in cages so that allocated car parking remains accessible		Provided in cages.	Yes
	If communal stor provided they she	age rooms are ould be	Within walking distance of the lifts.	Yes
	accessible from common circulation areas of the building			
-----------------------------	---	---	-----	
	Storage not located in an apartment is integrated into the overall building design and is not visible from the public domain	Achieved.	Yes	
4H-1 Acoustic Privacy	Noise transfer is minimised through the siting of buildings and building layout			
	<u>Design guidance</u> Adequate building separation is provided within the development and from neighbouring buildings/adjacent uses (see also section 2F Building separation and section 3F Visual privacy)	Adequate building separation is not provided.	No	
	Window and door openings are generally orientated away from noise sources	Not achieved.	No	
	Noisy areas within buildings including building entries and corridors should be located next to or above each other and quieter areas next to or above quieter areas	Generally achieved with exception of units adjoining the lifts and the waste room.	No	
	Storage, circulation areas and non-habitable rooms should be located to buffer noise from external sources	Not achieved to units that are facing the classified roads.	No	
	The number of party walls (walls shared with other apartments) are limited and are appropriately insulated	Achieved.	Yes	
	Noise sources such as garage doors, driveways, service areas, plant rooms, building services, mechanical equipment, active communal open spaces and circulation areas should be located at least 3m away from bedrooms	Not achieved as noise sources are located directly adjacent to bedrooms of certain units.	No	
4H-2 Acoustic	Noise impacts are mitigated within apartments through layout			

Privacy	and acoustic treatments		
	<ul> <li><u>Design guidance</u></li> <li>Internal apartment layout separates noisy spaces from quiet spaces, using a number of the following design solutions:</li> <li>rooms with similar noise requirements are grouped together</li> <li>doors separate different use zones</li> <li>wardrobes in bedrooms are co- located to act as sound buffers</li> </ul>	Certain bedrooms are located directly adjacent to the lifts, and a ground floor unit has primarily habitable rooms adjacent to the waste room, and are unsuitable.	No
	Where physical separation cannot be achieved noise conflicts are resolved using the following design solutions: • double or acoustic glazing • acoustic seals • use of materials with low noise penetration properties • continuous walls to ground level courtyards where they do not conflict with streetscape or other amenity requirements	Capable of being achieved for most units in the development.	Yes
4J-1 Noise Pollution	In noisy or hostile environments the impacts of external noise and pollution are minimised through the careful siting and layout of buildings <u>Design guidance</u> To minimise impacts the following design solutions may be used: • physical separation between buildings and the noise or pollution source • residential uses are located perpendicular to the noise source and where possible buffered by other uses • non-residential buildings are sited to be parallel with the noise source to provide a continuous building that shields residential uses and communal open spaces • non-residential uses are located at lower levels vertically	The site is considered to be situated in a hostile environment due to the site fronting two classified roads. The design does not adhere to the design guidance in this criteria. For example, alternative solutions such as orienting non- habitable spaces to the classified roads, or dual-aspect units, or providing two-storey units at the ground floor where bedrooms and living areas can be positioned on the upper level away from	No

	separating the residential component from the noise or pollution source. Setbacks to the underside of residential floor levels should increase relative to traffic volumes and other noise sources • buildings should respond to both solar access and noise. • Where solar access is away from the noise source, nonhabitable rooms can provide a buffer • where solar access is in the same direction as the noise source, dual aspect apartments with shallow building depths are preferable (see figure 4J.4) • landscape design reduces the perception of noise and acts as a filter for air pollution generated by traffic and industry Achieving the design criteria in this Apartment Design Guide may not be possible in some situations due to noise and pollution. Where developments are unable to	the classified road to protect against any potential collisions has not been explored. Amended plans have slightly improved the situation by introducing a solid acoustic barrier to provide some measure of physical separation for ground floor units and assist in reducing the excessive noise, however due to the lack of sufficient physical separation, these spaces will remain noisy and likely unusable. Dust and air pollution is also likely to be an issue for these units.	
	<ul> <li>the following areas:</li> <li>solar and daylight access</li> <li>private open space and balconies</li> </ul>		
	natural cross ventilation		
4J-2 Noise Pollution	Appropriate noise shielding or attenuation techniques for the building design, construction and choice of materials are used to mitigate noise transmission	The Acoustic Report submitted with the application addresses the impact of noise to and from the development and	Yes
	<ul> <li><u>Design guidance</u></li> <li>Design solutions to mitigate noise include:</li> <li>Iimiting the number and size of openings facing noise sources</li> <li>providing seals to prevent noise</li> </ul>	concludes that subject to compliance with the recommendations of the report, acoustic criteria for indoor spaces will be met.	
	transfer through gaps • using double or acoustic glazing, acoustic louvres or	This has been assessed by council's Public Health &	

	enclosed balconies	Environment Section	
	(wintergardens)	who raised no issues	
	• using materials with mass	with the proposal	
	and/or sound insulation or		
	absorption properties e.g. solid	It is noted whilst indoor	
	balcony balustrades, external	spaces will be capable	
	screens and soffits	of being engineered to	
		achieve acoustic	
		amenity criteria, the	
		private open spaces	
		and external areas	
		cannot be significantly	
		shielded unless an	
		alternative site layout	
		is explored.	
4K-1	A range of apartment types and	A variety of apartment	Yes
Apartment	sizes is provided to cater for	types and sizes are	
Mix	different household types now	provided.	
	and into the future		
	Design guidance		
	A variety of anartment types is		
	provided		
	The apartment mix is appropriate.		
	taking into consideration:		
	• the distance to public transport,		
	employment and education		
	centres		
	<ul> <li>the current market demands</li> </ul>		
	and projected future demographic		
	trends		
	<ul> <li>the demand for social and</li> </ul>		
	affordable housing		
	<ul> <li>different cultural and</li> </ul>		
	socioeconomic groups		
	Flexible apartment configurations		
	are provided to support diverse		
	household types and stages of		
	life including single person		
	households families multi-		
	generational families and group		
	households		
4K-2	The apartment mix is distributed		
Apartment	to suitable locations within the		
Mix	building		
	Design guidance		
	Different apartment types are	Achieved.	Yes

	located to achieve successful facade composition and to optimise solar access (see figure 4K.3) Larger apartment types are located on the ground or roof level where there is potential for more open space and on corners where more building frontage is available	Achieved.	Yes
4L-1 Ground Floor Apartments	Street frontage activity is maximised where ground floor apartments are located <u>Design guidance</u> Direct street access should be provided to ground floor apartments	Direct entry has not been provided and this control is not considered appropriate for this site due to the hazards associated with the classified road frontage. The variation is considered acceptable.	No
	Activity is achieved through front gardens, terraces and the facade of the building. Design solutions may include: • both street, foyer and other common internal circulation entrances to ground floor apartments • private open space is next to the street • doors and windows face the street	Achieved.	Yes
	Retail or home office spaces should be located along street frontages Ground floor apartment layouts support small office home office (SOHO) use to provide future opportunities for conversion into commercial or retail areas. In those appear provide bigher floor	Retail is not permitted in the residential zones. Capable of being achieved.	Not applicable Yes

	to ceiling heights and ground floor		
	amenities for easy conversion		
4L-2	Design of ground floor	Due to the location of	No
Ground	apartments delivers amenity and	the site fronting two	
Floor	safety for residents	classified roads the	
Apartments		amenity of the ground	
	Design guidance	floor units is	
	Privacy and safety should be	unsuitable. Bedrooms	
	provided without obstructing	and living spaces are	
	casual surveillance. Design	proposed to be located	
	solutions may include:	6m from the boundary	
	elevation of private gardens and	to the classified roads,	
	terraces above the street level by	and private open	
	1-1.5m (see figure 4L.4)	spaces run along the	
	Iandscaping and private	classified road. Whiist	
	courtyards	complies with solar	
	minimise sight lines into	access for 75% of	
	anartments	units the design does	
	• integrating balustrades safety	not deliver safety and	
	bars or screens with the exterior	amenity	
	design		
	5		
	Solar access should be		
	maximised through:		
	<ul> <li>high ceilings and tall windows</li> </ul>		
	• trees and shrubs that allow solar		
	access in winter and shade in		
	summer		
4M-1	Building facades provide visual	The proposed building	No
Facades	interest along the street while	façade to the north and	
	respecting the character of the	west facing the	
	local area	classified roads	
	Design guidenee	achieves a high quality	
	Design guidance Design solutions for front building	procentation The	
	facades may include:	acoustic barrier	
	• a composition of varied building	required to provide	
	elements	safety and reduce	
	• a defined base middle and top	noise levels at the	
	of buildings	frontages of the	
	revealing and concealing certain	building will be	
	elements	provided with a public	
	• changes in texture, material,	art/mosaic wall to treat	
	detail and colour to modify the	an otherwise blank	
	prominence of elements	exterior wall. However	
		it is noted that the	
	Building services should be	detailed design and	
	integrated within the overall	extent of the artwork	
	façade	has not been	

		submitted for Council	
	Building facades should be well	to consider	
	resolved with an appropriate		
	scale and proportion to the	The facade to the	
	streetscape and human scale	south and west does	
	Design solutions may include:	not achieve the same	
	• well composed horizontal and	level of architectural	
	vertical elements	detailing and sense of	
	• variation in floor heights to	identity that the	
	enhance the human scale	northern and western	
	elements that are proportional	facade presents partly	
	and arranged in natterns	arising from the	
	public artwork or treatments to	location of building	
	exterior blank walls	services and waste	
	• grouping of floors or elements	rooms occupying a	
	such as balconies and windows	large footprint of the	
	on taller buildings	around floor	
		Additionally the entry	
	Building facades relate to key	into the basement has	
	datum lines of adjacent buildings	not been integrated	
	through unner level setbacks	into the overall facade	
	naranets cornices awnings or		
	colonnade beights	The building does not	
		achieve the minimum	
	Shadow is created on the facade	required setbacks	
	throughout the day with building	under the ADG to the	
	articulation balconies and deeper	eastern R2 zoned	
	window reveals	properties and the	
		southern R3 zoned	
		multi dwelling housing	
		development resulting	
		in the design	
		incorporating a blank	
		facade consisting of	
		high sill windows and	
		no outlook to the new	
		development being	
		proposed over the	
		southern part of the	
		site.	
4M-2	Building functions are expressed		
Facades	by the facade		
	,		
	Design guidance		
	Building entries should be clearly	Achieved.	Yes
	defined		
	Important corners are given	Achieved.	Yes
	visual prominence through a		
	change in articulation, materials		

	or colour, roof expression or changes in height		
	The apartment layout should be expressed externally through facade features such as party walls and floor slabs	Achieved.	Yes
4N-1 Roof Design	Roof treatments are integrated into the building design and positively respond to the street <u>Design guidance</u> Roof design relates to the street. Design solutions may include: • special roof features and strong corners • use of skillion or very low pitch hipped roofs • breaking down the massing of the roof by using smaller elements to avoid bulk • using materials or a pitched form complementary to adjacent	Roof Plan is appropriate and incorporates service elements.	Yes
	Roof treatments should be integrated with the building design. Design solutions may include: • roof design proportionate to the overall building size, scale and form • roof materials compliment the building • service elements are integrated		
4N-2 Roof Design	Opportunities to use roof space for residential accommodation and open space are maximised		
	<ul> <li><u>Design guidance</u></li> <li>Habitable roof space should be provided with good levels of amenity. Design solutions may include:</li> <li>penthouse apartments</li> <li>dormer or clerestory windows</li> <li>openable skylights</li> </ul>	No habitable rooms are provided in the roof space as a flat roof is proposed.	Not applicable
	Open space is provided on roof tops subject to acceptable visual	No open space is proposed on the roof	Not applicable

	and acoustic privacy, comfort	as there is adequate	
	levels, safety and security	space at the ground	
	considerations	level.	
4N-3	Roof design incorporates	The roof design is	Yes
Roof	sustainability features	acceptable however	
Design		there are minimal	
Ū	Design guidance	sustainability features.	
	Roof design maximises solar		
	access to apartments during	Skylights incorporated	
	winter and provides shade during	to certain units.	
	summer. Design solutions may		
	include:		
	<ul> <li>the roof lifts to the north</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>eaves and overhangs shade</li> </ul>		
	walls and windows from summer		
	sun		
	Skylights and ventilation systems		
	should be integrated into the roof		
	design		
40-1	Landscape design is viable and	The proposed deep	No
Landscape	sustainable	soil zone is 740.45m <sup>2</sup>	
Design		and according to Table	
	Design guidance	4 in the ADG can	
	Landscape design should be	accommodate 9 large	
	environmentally sustainable and	trees or 18 medium	
	can enhance environmental	trees. The proposed	
	performance by incorporating:	new planting will	
	diverse and appropriate planting	exceed the minimum	
	bio-filtration gardens	and will contribute to	
	• appropriately planted shading	providing high quality	
	trees	new landscaping along	
	• areas for residents to plant	the frontages of the	
	vegetables and herbs	site and within the	
	• composting	COS.	
		Notwithstanding that	
	Ongoing maintananaa plana		
	chould be prepared	new planting is	
	should be prepared	contribute positively	
	Microclimate is enhanced by:	the overall landscape	
	appropriately scaled trees pear	design is not	
	the eastern and western	considered to he	
	elevations for shade	sustainable as it does	
	• a balance of every en and	not maximise	
	deciduous trees to provide	preservation of existing	
	shading in summer and sunlight	trees that are located	
	access in winter	at the perimeters of the	
	shade structures such as	site and are capable of	
	pergolas for balconies and	being retained and	
	courtyards	protected.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1

	Tree and shrub selection considers size at maturity and the potential for roots to compete (see Table 4)         Table 4 Recommended tree planting in deep soil zones         Site area       Recommended tree planting in deep soil zone         Up to 850m <sup>2</sup> 1 medium tree per 50m <sup>2</sup> of deep soil zone         Between 850 - 1,500m <sup>2</sup> 1 large tree or 2 medium trees per 90m <sup>2</sup> of deep soil zone         Greater than 1,500m <sup>2</sup> 1 large tree or 2 medium trees per 80m <sup>2</sup> of deep soil zone	Further, the existing trees are taller than the proposed buildings and will instantly achieve an appropriate scale along the western elevations, where the proposed trees are smaller and medium trees which will not achieve the same height and scale as the large trees that are required to scale against the building; and will take years to fully mature.	
40-2 Landscape Design	Landscape design contributes to the streetscape and amenity <u>Design guidance</u> Landscape design responds to the existing site conditions including: • changes of levels • views • significant landscape features including trees and rock outcrops Significant landscape features should be protected by: • tree protection zones (see figure 40.5) • appropriate signage and fencing during construction Plants selected should be endemic to the region and reflect the local ecology	Landscape design is not considered to be appropriate as it does not maximise preservation of existing trees that are located at the perimeters of the site and are capable of being retained and protected. The loss of trees results in unacceptable loss of amenity and diminishing the quality of the streetscape.	No
4P-1 Planting on Structures	Appropriate soil profiles are provided <u>Design guidance</u> Structures are reinforced for additional saturated soil weight Soil volume is appropriate for plant growth, considerations include:	These matters have been reviewed by Council's Landscape Advisor and Tree Preservation Officer and no issues have been raised regarding planting on structures.	Yes

	modifying depths and widths	
	according to the planting mix and	
	irrigation frequency	
	• free draining and long soil life	
	span	
	• tree anchorage	
	a de anonorage	
	Minimum soil standards for plant	
	sizes should be provided in	
	accordance with Table 5	
	Take 5 Minimum soil standards for plant types and sizes	
	Plant type         Definition         Boil volume         Boil depth         Soil area           Large trees         12-18m high, up to 16m crown spread at constraints         150m <sup>3</sup> 1,200mm         10m x 10m or equivalent	
	Medium trees 8-12m high, up to 8m crown spread at 35m <sup>3</sup> 1,000mm 6m x 6m or equivalent maturity	
	Small trees         6-8m high, up to 4m crown spread at maharity         9m <sup>3</sup> 800mm         3.5m x 3.5m or equivalent	
	Shubs         500-600mm           Ground cover         300-450mm	
	Turf 200mm	
4P-2	Plant growth is optimised with	
Planting on	appropriate selection and	
Structures	maintenance	
	<u>Design guidance</u>	
	Plants are suited to site	
	conditions, considerations	
	include:	
	<ul> <li>drought and wind tolerance</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>seasonal changes in solar</li> </ul>	
	access	
	modified substrate depths for a	
	diverse range of plants	
	plant longevity	
	A landscape maintenance plan is	
	prepared Irrigation and drainage	
	systems respond to:	
	• changing site conditions	
	• soil profile and the planting	
	regime	
	• whether rainwater. stormwater	
	or recycled grev water is used	
4P-3	Planting on structures contributes	
Planting on	to the quality and amenity of	
Structures	communal and public open	
	spaces	
	Design guidance	
	Building design incorporates	
	onnortunities for planting on	
	structures Design solutions may	
	include:	
	• green walls with specialized	
	- yreen wans with specialised	
	lighting for indoor green walls	

	<ul> <li>wall design that incorporates planting</li> <li>green roofs, particularly where roofs are visible from the public domain</li> </ul>		
	planter boxes     Note: structures designed to     accommodate green walls should		
	be integrated into the building facade and consider the ability of the facade to change over time		
4Q-1 Universal Design	Universal design features are included in apartment design to promote flexible housing for all community members	The applicant has stated that 17 out of 85 units (equal to 20%) will achieve Livable Housing Silver Level.	Yes
	Design guidance Developments achieve a benchmark of 20% of the total apartments incorporating the Livable Housing Guideline's silver level universal design features		
4Q-2 Universal Design	A variety of apartments with adaptable designs are provided		
	Design guidance Adaptable housing should be provided in accordance with the relevant council policy	The Fairfield CityWide DCP 2013 requires 1 accessible unit per 10 units. The proposal provides 9 out of 85	Yes
	<ul> <li>apartments include:</li> <li>convenient access to communal and public areas</li> <li>high level of solar access</li> <li>minimal structural change and</li> </ul>	units and complies with the DCP.	
	<ul> <li>residential amenity loss when adapted</li> <li>larger car parking spaces for accessibility</li> </ul>		
	parking titled separately from apartments or shared car parking arrangements		
4Q-3 Universal Design	Apartment layouts are flexible and accommodate a range of lifestyle needs	Proposed apartment layouts are considered to be flexible.	Yes
	<u>Design guidance</u> Apartment design incorporates		

	flexible design solutions which may include: • rooms with multiple functions • dual master bedroom apartments with separate bathrooms • larger apartments with various living space options • open plan 'loft' style apartments with only a fixed kitchen, laundry and bathroom		
4R-1 Adaptive Reuse	New additions to existing buildings are contemporary and complementary and enhance an area's identity and sense of place <u>Design guidance</u> Design solutions may include: • new elements to align with the existing building • additions that complement the existing character, siting, scale, proportion, pattern, form and detailing • use of contemporary and complementary materials, finishes, textures and colours Additions to heritage items should be clearly identifiable from the original building New additions allow for the interpretation and future evolution of the building	The application is for a new development and does not involve extensions/additions.	Not applicable
4R-2 Adaptive Reuse	Adapted buildings provide residential amenity while not precluding future adaptive reuse <u>Design guidance</u> Design features should be incorporated sensitively into adapted buildings to make up for any physical limitations, to ensure residential amenity is achieved. Design solutions may include: • generously sized voids in deeper buildings • alternative apartment types when orientation is poor	The proposal does not involve adaptive reuse of a building.	Not applicable

	• using additions to expand the		
	existing buildings may not be able		
	to achieve all of the design criteria in this Apartment Design		
	Guide. Where developments are		
	unable to achieve the design criteria, alternatives could be		
	considered in the following areas:		
	• where there are existing higher		
	rooms could increase subject to		
	demonstrating access to natural		
	(when applicable) and solar and		
	daylight access (see also		
	access and 4B Natural		
	ventilation)		
	soil where less than the minimum		
	requirement is currently available		
	• building and visual separation –		
	subject to demonstrating		
	achieving privacy		
	common circulation     car parking		
	alternative approaches to		
45-1	private open space and balconies	Only residential	Not
Mixed use	provided in appropriate locations	accommodation is	applicable
	and provide active street	proposed.	
	pedestrian movement		
	Design guidance		
	Mixed use development should		
	transport and centres		
	Mixed use developments		
	positively contribute to the public domain. Design solutions may		
	include:		
	• development addresses the street		

	<ul> <li>active frontages are provided</li> <li>diverse activities and uses</li> <li>avoiding blank walls at the ground level</li> <li>live/work apartments on the ground floor level, rather than commercial</li> </ul>		
4S-2 Mixed use	Residential levels of the building are integrated within the development, and safety and amenity is maximised for residents	Only residential accommodation is proposed.	Not applicable
	Design guidance Residential circulation areas should be clearly defined. Design solutions may include: • residential entries are separated from commercial entries and directly accessible from the street • commercial service areas are separated from residential components • residential car parking and communal facilities are separated or secured • security at entries and safe pedestrian routes are provided • concealment opportunities are avoided		
	Landscaped communal open space should be provided at podium or roof levels		
4T-1 Awnings and Signage	Awnings are well located and complement and integrate with the building designDesign guidance Awnings should be located along streets with high pedestrian activity and active frontages	Site is not in a neighbourhood centre and does not have a high pedestrian activity or active frontage.	Not applicable
	<ul> <li>A number of the following design solutions are used:</li> <li>continuous awnings are maintained and provided in areas with an existing pattern</li> <li>height, depth, material and form complements the existing street</li> </ul>		

	<ul> <li>character</li> <li>protection from the sun and rain is provided</li> <li>awnings are wrapped around the secondary frontages of corner sites</li> <li>awnings are retractable in areas without an established pattern</li> <li>Awnings should be located over building entries for building address and public domain amenity</li> <li>Awnings relate to residential windows, balconies, street tree planting, power poles and street infrastructure</li> <li>Gutters and down pipes should be integrated and concealed</li> <li>Lighting under awnings should be provided for pedestrian safety</li> </ul>		
4T-2 Awnings and Signage	Signage responds to the context and desired streetscape character <u>Design guidance</u> Signage should be integrated into the building design and respond to the scale, proportion and detailing of the development Legible and discrete way finding should be provided for larger developments Signage is limited to being on and below awnings and a single facade sign on the primary street frontage	No signage is proposed for this site.	Not applicable
4U-1 Energy Efficiency	Development incorporates passive environmental design <u>Design guidance</u> Adequate natural light is provided to habitable rooms (see 4A Solar and daylight access)	Not all habitable room receive adequate natural light as identified earlier in this	No

		table.	
	Well located, screened outdoor areas should be provided for clothes drying	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
4U-2 Energy Efficiency	Development incorporates passive solar design to optimise heat storage in winter and reduce heat transfer in summer <u>Design guidance</u> A number of the following design solutions are used: • the use of smart glass or other technologies on north and west elevations • thermal mass in the floors and walls of north facing rooms is maximised • polished concrete floors, tiles or timber rather than carpet • insulated roofs, walls and floors and seals on window and door openings • overhangs and shading devices such as awnings, blinds and screens Provision of consolidated heating and cooling infrastructure should be located in a centralised location (e.g. the basement)	The development must comply with the BASIX certificate which contains numerous requirements relating to energy efficiency. However it is noted that the BASIX Certificate for this development is outdated and an amended certificate has not been submitted to reflect the revised proposal and to verify that original recommendations are still relevant.	No
40-3 Energy Efficiency	minimises the need for mechanical ventilation		
	<ul> <li><u>Design guidance</u></li> <li>A number of the following design solutions are used:</li> <li>rooms with similar usage are grouped together</li> <li>natural cross ventilation for apartments is optimised</li> <li>natural ventilation is provided to all habitable rooms and as many non-habitable rooms, common areas and circulation spaces as possible</li> </ul>	Achieved.	Yes

4V-1	Potable water use is minimised	The BASIX Certificate	No
Water		for this development is	
Management	Design guidance	outdated and an	
and	Water efficient fittings, appliances	amended certificate	
Conservation	and wastewater reuse should be	has not been	
	incorporated	submitted to reflect the	
		revised proposal.	
	Apartments should be individually	Notwithstanding this,	
	metered	the original certificate	
		did not make any	
	Rainwater should be collected,	recommendations for	
	stored and reused on site	alternative water	
		source to be installed	
	Drought tolerant, low water use	at the site and none	
	plants should be used within	have been included in	
	landscaped areas	the design. An updated	
		certificate is required	
		to verify that these	
		requirements are still	
		relevant to the	
		amended design.	
4V-2	Urban stormwater is treated on	Council's Development	Yes
Water	site before being discharged to	Engineers have	
Management	receiving waters	assessed this aspect	
and		of the proposal and	
Conservation	Design guidance	raise no issues.	
	Water sensitive urban design		
	systems are designed by a		
	suitably qualified professional		
	A number of the following design		
	solutions are used:		
	runoff is collected from roofs		
	and balconies in water tanks and		
	plumbed into toilets. laundry and		
	irrigation		
	• porous and open paving		
	materials is maximised		
	<ul> <li>on site stormwater and</li> </ul>		
	infiltration, including bio-retention		
	systems such as rain gardens or		
	street tree pits		
4V-3	Flood management systems are	The site is not flood	Not
Water	integrated into site design	affected.	applicable
Management			
and	Design guidance		
Conservation	Detention tanks should be		
	located under paved areas,		
	driveways or in basement car		
	parks		

	On large sites parks or open spaces are designed to provide temporary on site detention basins		
4W-1 Waste Management	Waste storage facilities are designed to minimise impacts on the streetscape, building entry and amenity of residents		
	Design guidance Adequately sized storage areas for rubbish bins should be located discreetly away from the front of the development or in the basement car park	Waste storage areas are no longer located discreetly and occupy a large part of the ground floor footprint. Additionally the waste area is not appropriately screened. Council's Waste Management Section has raised a number of concerns with the proposal.	Yes
	Waste and recycling storage areas should be well ventilated	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
	Circulation design allows bins to be easily manoeuvred between storage and collection points	Capable of being achieved.	Yes
	Temporary storage should be provided for large bulk items such as mattresses	Provided at ground level instead of in the basement.	No
	A waste management plan (WMP) should be prepared	An amended WMP was submitted in March 2024 and has been reviewed by Council's Waste Management Section who raised a number of concerns with the proposal.	Yes
		These issues with waste management are discussed in the Key Issues section of	

		the report.	
4W-2	Domestic waste is minimised by		
Waste	providing safe and convenient		
Management	source separation and recycling		
	Design guidance		
	All dwellings should have a waste	Capable of being	Yes
	and recycling cupboard or	achieved.	
	temporary storage area of		
	sufficient size to hold two days		
	worth of waste and recycling		
	Communal waste and recycling	Provided at each	Vec
	rooms are in convenient and	residential level plus	103
	accessible locations related to	in the basement and at	
	each vertical core	around level.	
		9	
	For mixed use developments,	Residential only.	Not
	residential waste and recycling		applicable
	storage areas and access should		
	be separate and secure from		
	other uses		
	Alternative waste disposal	Canable of being	Ves
	methods such as composting	achieved	103
	should be provided		
4X-1	Building design detail provides	The development is	Yes
Building	protection from weathering	capable of complying	
Maintenance		with these	
	Design guidance	requirements.	
	A number of the following design		
	solutions are used:		
	hoods over windows and doors		
	to protect openings		
	detailing horizontal edges with		
	drip lines to avoid staining of		
	surfaces		
	<ul> <li>methods to eliminate or reduce</li> </ul>		
	planter box leaching		
	• appropriate design and material		
	selection for hostile locations	The development is	Vaa
4A-2 Building	of maintenance	canable of complying	res
Maintenance	or maintenance	with these	
	Design guidance	requirements.	
	Window design enables cleaning	-1	
	from the inside of the building		
	Building maintenance systems		

	<ul> <li>should be incorporated and integrated into the design of the building form, roof and façade</li> <li>Design solutions do not require external scaffolding for maintenance access</li> <li>Manually operated systems such as blinds, sunshades and curtains are used in preference to mechanical systems</li> <li>Centralised maintenance, services and storage should be provided for communal open space areas within the building</li> </ul>		
4X-3 Building Maintenance	Material selection reduces ongoing maintenance costs <u>Design guidance</u> A number of the following design solutions are used: • sensors to control artificial lighting in common circulation and spaces • natural materials that weather well and improve with time such as face brickwork • easily cleaned surfaces that are graffiti resistant • robust and durable materials and finishes are used in locations which receive heavy wear and tear, such as common circulation areas and lift interiors	The development is capable of complying with these requirements.	Yes